SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT JOAQUIN AGUILAR MENDEZ, ) Case No. BC 358 718 Plaintiff. CERTIFIED COPY vs. CARDINAL ROSER MAHONY, THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF LOS ANGELES, a corporation sole, et al., Defendants. Full Caption on Page 3. VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARDINAL ROGER MAHONY Los Angeles, California Thursday, September 13, 2007 (Pages 1 through 205) Reported by: Janet M. Taylor, RMR, CSR No. 9463 Certified Realtime Reporter

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT 3 JOAQUIN AGUILAR MENDEZ, ) Case No. BC 358 718 Plaintiff, CARDINAL ROGER MAHONY, THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF LOS ANGELES, a corporation sole, CARDINAL NORBERTO RIVERA, THE DIOCESE OF TEHUACAN, FATHER NICHOLAS AGUILAR, DOES 1-100, 8 10 11 Defendants 12 13 14 15 16 174 18 20 21 22 23 24 25

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUTTE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2
             COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT
3
    JOAQUIN AGUILAR MENDEZ,
                                            ) Case No. BC 358 718
                          Plaintiff,
 б
                     VS.
    CARDINAL ROGER MAHONY. THE
    CARDINAL ROSEK SANCHI, THE
ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHEISHOP OF
LOS ANGELES, a corporation sole,
et al.,
                           Defendants.
10
11
    Full Caption on Page 3.
12
13
14
                 Videotaped deposition of CARDINAL ROGER
15
    MAHONY, taken on behalf of plaintiff, at Cathedral of
16
17
    Our Lady of the Angels, 555 West Temple Street,
    Los Angeles, California, beginning at 9:50 a.m. and
18
    ending at 2:49 p.m., on Thursday, September 13, 2007,
19
     before Janet M. Taylor, Certified Shorthand Reporter No.
21
22
23
24
25
```

.)

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
1
 3
        For the Plaintiff:
                              JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
BY: JEFFREY R. ANDERSON, ESQ.
E-1000 First National Bank Building
332 Minnesota Street
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
(551) 227-9990
(651) 297-6543 Fax
 5
                              THE DRIVON LAW FIRM
BY: ROBERT T. WATERS, ESQ.
215 North San Joaquin Street
Stockton, California 95202
{209} 644-1234
10
                              LAW OFFICES OF MARTIN D. GROSS
BY: MARTIN D. GROSS, ESQ.
2001 Wilshire Boulevard
11
12
                               Suite 205
Santa Monica, California 90403
(310) 453-8320
(310) 861-1359 Fax
13
14
15
16
        For Cardinal Roger Mahony, The Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, a corporation sole:
17
                               HENNIGAN BENNETT & DORMAN LLP
BY: DONALD F. WOODS, JR., ESQ.
JAMES P. HABEL, ESQ.
865 South Figueroa Street
18
19
                                Suite 2900
                               Los Angeles, California 90017 (213) 694-1167 (213) 694-1234 Fax
20
21
22
23
24
                                       (Appearances Continued . . .)
25
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd):
2	
з.	For Cardinal Norberto Rivera and The Diocese of Tehuacan:
4	
5	MAYER BROWN LLP BY: STEVEN R. SELSBERG, ESQ. CLAUDIO JIMENEZ DE LEON, ESQ.
6	700 Louisiana Street Suite 3400
7	Houston, Texas 77002-2730 (713) 238-2664
8	(713) 238-4664 Fax
9	MAYER BROWN LLP BY: EVAN M. WOOTEN, ESQ.
10	350 South Grand Avenue 25th Floor
11	Los Angeles, California 90071-1503 (213) 229-9500
12	(213) 625-0248 Fax
13	
14	Also Present:
15	Scott LaClair, Legal Videographer Hahn & Bowersock Corporation
16	_
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	L

١,	EXHIBITS (	Cont'd):		
2	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NTRODUCED	PAGE MARKED
.	Exhibit 24	Letter dated 3/12/87 to	103	103
;		Norberto Rivera Carrera fro Nicolas Aguilar R. Presbyte prod. nos. RIV 24 and 24a	m _ · ·	
1		and certification		
1	Exhibit 26	Letter dated 3/23/87 to Rogelio Mahony from Norbert	111	111
;   ,		Rivera C., prod. nos. RIV 26 and 26a and certification		
۱,	Exhibit 29	Letter dated 12/20/87 to Norberto Rivera Carrera fro	187 m	187
L		Presbyter Nicolas Aguilar Rivera, prod. nos. RIV 29 a		
s		29a and certification		
	Exhibit 30	Letter dated 1/11/88 to Norberto Rivera C. from Thomas Curry, prod. no. RIV	116	116
,		Letter dated 2/23/88 to Norberto Rivera C. from Thomas Curry, prod. nos. RI 31 through 35	195 V	195
7	3	Letter dated 3/4/88 to Norberto Rivera Carrera fro Roger Mahony, prod. nos. RIV 36 through 39	156 m	156
	Exhibit 40	Letter dated 3/17/88 to Roger Mahony from Norberto Rivera C., prod. nos. RIV 40 through 43	166	166
2	Exhibit 44	Letter dated 3/30/88 to	170	170
3	LAME 41	Norberto Rivera C. from Rog Mahony, prod. nos. RIV 44		210
4		through 47		
s		(Exhibits Continued	.)	

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1		INDEX		
2				
3	WITNESS		EXAMINA	TION
4	CARDINAL RO	GER MAHONY		
5			PAG	E
6		By Mr. Anderson	13	
7				
8				
9				
10		EXHIBITS		
11				
12	EXHIBITS	pescription :	PAGE INTRODUCED	PAGE MARKED
13	EARIBIIS	pbd:dr/10.	INTRODUCED	PARGED
14	Exhibit A	Plaintiff's Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of	16	16
15		Cardinal Roger Mahony, data 9/4/07	eđ	
16	Dubihit B	Documents produced by	15	15
17	EXMIDIC B	the witness	13	13
18	Exhibit 19	Document, prod. nos. RIV 1 and 19a and certification	9 95	95
19	7	Letter dated 1/27/87 to		44
20		Rogelio Mahony from	44	29
21		Norberto Rivera, prod. nos RIV 23 and 23a and	•	
22		certification		
23				
24	}			
25		{Exhibits Continued .	)	
	1			

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

ſ			T	
1	EXHIBITS (Co	ont'd):		
2	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	PAGE INTRODUCED	PAGE MARKED
3	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	INTRODUCED	MARKED
4	Exhibit 48	Letter to F. Nicolas Aguil Rivera from Rutilio S. Ram		180
5		Rico, prod. nos. RIV 48 and 48a and certificati		
6				
7	Exhibit 7.7	Letter dated 5/20/04 to Mario Espinosa Contreras from Rogelio Cardenal Maho	176 Day.	176
8		prod. nos. RIV 77 and 77a and certification		
۱۹	Exhibit 78	Letter dated 6/11/04 to	179	179
10		Rogelio Mahony from Mario Espinosa Contreras, prod.		
11		RIV 78 and 78a and certification		
12	Exhibit 100	Spanish and English version	ons 182	182
13 14		of Declaration of Defendant Cardinal Norberto Rivera Carrera, etc., dated 2/12,		
15	Exhibit 101	Police report, prod. nos. 1 through 82	142	142
16	Exhibit 102	Oath Taken by Cardinals	133	133
17		During the Ceremony at who	ich	
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25		(Index Continued	.)	
		<del></del>		

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

_	
[	•
1	INDEX (Cont'd):
2	
3	INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER
4	Page Line
5	32 23
-	35 10
6	35 14
	35 19
7	36 11 36 20
8	36 20
۰	40 6
9	40 13
-	40 18
10	40 24
	41 9
11	63 20
12	73 15 76 3
12	76 22
13	80 10
	80 21
14	81 1
	84 4
15	94 1
16	. 100 2 100 23
10	103 18
17	115 16
-	116 3
18	120 3
	120 13
19	121 6
20	121 17 121 24
20	121 24 19
21	122 25
	126 6
22	128 9
	130 21
23	131 1
	131 25 132 8
24	l ·
25	(Index Continued)

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1 INDEX (Cont	(b':	
2	·	
3	INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER	
4	Page Line	
5	173 11	
	174 12	
6	174 20 175 3	
7	175 11 175 24	
8	178 16	
ا و	179 9 181 6	
0	188 13 191 22	
	192 6	
.1	196 8 200 2	
.2	200 22 201 9	
.3	202	
.4		
.5		
.6		
-3:23		
.8		
<b>12</b>		
29, (* . <b>*</b> 1 %	•	
21.5		
22. <sup>#</sup>		
23		
4		
25		

11

- 1	
1	INDEX (Cont'd):
2	
3	INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER (Cont'd)
4	Page Line
5	132 16
	132 24
6	133 7 133 14
7	133 19
,	134 6
8	134 17
	135 24
9	136 12
10	136 17
TO	138 17 138 22
11	139 3
	139 13
12	139 17
	139 21
13	139 25
14	140 3
14	140 18 140 25
15	141 6
	141 20
16	143 13
	145 I
17	148 2
18	148 10 148 17
10	148 17
19	156 10
	157 13
20	158 1
	158 20
21	159 1 160 2
22	160 2 160 8
22	160 13
23	161 1
	164 7
24	169 25
25	(Index Continued)
	A .

.)

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
Los Angeles, California; Thursday, September 13, 2007
                            9:50 a.m. - 2:49 p.m.
       3
                           PROCEBDINGS
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The date is September 13th.
          2007. The time is 9:50 a.m. We are taking the
          deposition of Cardinal Roger Mahony in the matter of
          Joaquin Aguilar Mendez versus Cardinal Roger Mahony,
09:50:38 10 et al., for the Superior Court of the State of
      11 California, for the County of Los Angeles, case number
      12
          BC358718.
      13
                     My name is Scott LaClair. I represent Hahn &
          Bowersock, which is located in Costa Mesa, California.
      14
09:50:52 15 This deposition is being taken at Cathedral of our Lady
       16
           of the Angels, located in Los Angeles.
       17
                     At this time, could all parties please
           introduce themselves, starting with the witness.
       18
                 THE WITNESS: Cardinal Roger Mahony.
       19
                 MR. WOODS: Donald Woods of the firm of Hennigan,
09:51:07 20
       21 Bennett & Dorman, appearing on behalf of the Cardinal.
                 MR. HABEL: James Habel, Hennigan, Bennett &
       22
       23 Dorman, for the Cardinal,
                 MR. SELSBERG: Steve Selsberg, Mayer Brown,
       24
           Cardinal Norberto Rivera and the Diocese of Tehuacan.
09:51:19 25
```

09:51:23 MR. WOOTEN: Evan Wooten, Mayer Brown, for Norberto Rivera and the Diocese of Tehuacan, defendants. MR. JIMENEZ: Claudio Jimenez, Mayer Brown, for Norberto Rivera and the Diocese of Tehuacan. 09:51:35 MR. GROSS: Martin Gross for the plaintiff. MR. WATERS: Rob Waters, the Drivon Law Firm, for the plaintiff. MR. ANDERSON: And Jeff Anderson for the plaintiff. 09:51:44 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter 11 please swear in the witness. 12 13 CARDINAL ROGER MAHONY, 14 called as a witness and having been first duly 09:51:46 15 administered an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified 16 17 as follows: 18 19 BXAMINATION 09:51:46 2.0 21 MR. WOODS: Okav. I'd like to make a preliminary 22 statement. By order of the court, this deposition is 23 limited to inquiries of the witness relevant to personal 24 inrisdiction by the State of California over Cardinal 09:52:11 25 Rivera, a Mexican citizen and resident, and the Diocese

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUTTE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

7.3

```
09:53:45
      1
           records covered by the request to produce associated
          with the deposition of the Cardinal and have produced a
           group of records, which I will now hand to the court
           reporter.
09:54:02 5
                      These records that we have culled and
           produced fall into the limited nature of this inquiry.
           namely, all written and oral contacts between the
           Archdiccese of Los Angeles and church officials in
        9
           Mexico. They either are correspondence to or from the
09:54:28 1.0
           Mexican defendants or they are documents which refer to
       11
           correspondence or contacts with the specific Mexican
       12
           defendants.
       13
                      Okay. With that in mind, then, you can
       14
           proceed with the deposition.
09-54-45 15
                  MR. ANDERSON: Counsel, before we inquire of the
       16
           witness, the documents you just produced are intended to
           be your entire response to our request for production of
        17
       18
            documents to the notice of deposition; is that correct?
                  MR. WOODS: Yes.
09:55:04 26
                  MR. ANDERSON: Okay. We'll mark the production
        2) that was just made by Mr. Woods Exhibit B.
        22
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit B was introduced and
        23
            marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
       24
           Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
09:55:14 25 BY MR. ANDERSON:
```

09:52:15 1 of Tehuacan, a Mexican corporation, for the causes of action asserted by plaintiff. 2 Jurisdiction over foreign nationals is 3 permissible in two circumstances. General jurisdiction 09:52:29 5 exists when a person has contacts with the forum which are substantial and continuous. The contacts must be so extensive and wide ranging as to make the defendant virtually living or doing business in the forum. Specific jurisdiction exists when a person 09:52:47 10 sustains personal injuries in the forum that arose out of or related to the foreign defendants' purposeful 11 contacts with the forum. The defendant must 12 13 purposefully and voluntarily direct his activities 14 toward the forum, and such activities must have caused 09:53:04 15 the plaintiff forum-related injuries. The most common example of specific jurisdiction is when a foreign manufacturer, such as Toyota, sells goods in California, causing injury to a 18 19 California resident. 09:53:20 2.0 In view of the court's order limiting the scope of the deposition, the witness has limited his 21 preparation to all contacts, written and oral, between 22 the Archdiocese of Los Angeles and the Mexican 23 24 defendants over whom jurisdiction is sought. 09:53:39 25 With that in mind, we have gone through the

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
09:55:15
       1
                  Q And for the record, Counsel, we'll mark
          Exhibit A the notice of deposition with the request for
       2
          production of documents appended to it as Exhibit A.
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit A was introduced and
          marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
09:55:25 5
           Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. Fine.
                  MR. ANDERSON: And any -- for the record,
          Counsel, any documents requested in Exhibit A that have
09:55:37 10 not been produced here today, which I have not had an
       11 opportunity to examine, but I will, are there any
       12
          documents that exist responsive to this request that
       13 have not been produced or are being withheld pursuant to
       14 a privilege or an objection?
09:56:01 15
                  MR. WOODS: Well, since one of your requests asks
       16 for any document that even mentions the name Aguilar
       17
           Rivera, there's obviously a number of documents that
       18
           have not been produced because, in our opinion, they are
       19
           outside the scope of this deposition.
09:56:23 20
                      And there are no documents within the scope
       21
           of the deposition as I have interpreted it in my opening
       22
           statement that have been excluded because of privilege,
       23
           any kind of privilege. Okay. All the correspondence
       24
           with Mexican officials and documents referring to it
09:56:42 25 have been produced.
```

09:56:44 MR. ANDERSON: So any document or file in the possession of the Archdiocese pertaining to Nicolas Aguilar or Nicolas Aguilar Rivera have now been produced in this production; is that correct? MR. WOODS: I think that's a -- if I heard you 09:57:02 5 correctly, that's a broader statement than the one I made. We've produced all documents relating to the jurisdictional issues. We have not withheld any documents in that category because of privilege. But we 09:57:19 10 have excluded -- let me just explain. 11 Father Nicolas Aguilar Rivera has a clergy 12 file -- it's called a clergy file. Okay -- kept by the 13 Archdiocese. And you'll see production numbers on these documents. Those are -- all the documents in the clercy 09:57:43 15 file have been numbered with a production number. 16 You'll see that there's gaps in the numbers. Wherever there's a gap in the number, documents have been withheld because they do not relate to 18 19 jurisdiction -- the issues relevant to jurisdiction. So 09:58:03 20 there -- there is a file that has 150-some-odd pages in 21 it that is his file, which would cover everything. MR. WATERS: And just for the record, when you 22 23 say \*not responsive to jurisdiction\* --24 MR. ANDERSON: Let me --MR. WATERS: -- "jurisdiction" -- oh. sorry. 09:58:18 25

> HAEN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
1 it's up to you if you want to take it up with the judge,
09:59:50
       2 but -- and we will obviously be guided by his ruling.
          But we understand that he's already ruled this way.
        3
                 MR. ANDERSON: The purpose of this deposition is
10:00:03 .5 to do discovery on jurisdiction. I agree with you on
          that. I don't agree that it permits you to withhold
          documents in the clergy file maintained by the
           Archdiocese pertaining to Nicolas Aguilar Rivera. And
           so it would be our intention to take that up with the
10:00:23 1.0
           court.
       11
                      As an alternative. I would invite you to
       12
           consider a sealed production of those documents, that
       13 is, a separate production to us of those documents that
       14 allows us to review them to determine whether or not
10:00:37 15 there may or may not be something in there that is
       16
          relevant to the jurisdictional inquiry. And then if
           there is something we consider relevant to the
       18 jurisdictional inquiry, you and I will do a meet and
       19 confer and decide whether or not we need to use it.
10:00:52 20-1
                      So please consider that proposal, and we'll
          have time through the course of this morning to do that
       22
           as an alternative to utilizing the court on this issue.
       23
                  MR. WOODS: Okav.
       24
                  MR. ANDERSON: Because I think it's clear that
10:01:06 25
           there is documents that have not been produced on the
```

```
09:58:22
                  MR. ANDERSON: Counsel, I'm looking at the
           production, for example, documents in Exhibit B numbered
        3
           20 and then there's a gap, 20 -- and the next one is 37
           as Bates stamped, so there are approximately 17
09:58:38
       5
           documents there that have been -- that are in the file
           of Nicolas Aguilar Rivera that you referred to as the
        6
           clergy file, those documents are being withheld on the
           basis they are not relevant to the jurisdictional
        8
        9
           inquiry that's being permitted here?
09:58:55 .10
                  MR. WOODS: Correct.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Isn't the relevancy objection for
       11
           the court and us to decide? Is that really a proper
       12
           basis to withhold documentation pertaining to the priest
       13
           file?
       14
                  MR. WOODS: Well, we may have a dispute about it.
09:59:12 15
       16
           But I'm comfortable that the court on a number of
       17
           occasions in this matter has specifically stated that
       18
           this should be a short deposition specifically focusing
       19
           on jurisdictional facts and not getting into the
09-59:32 2.0
           underlying substance or any other issues that might be
       21
           relevant to the lawsuit, in general, but just
       22
           jurisdiction.
       23
                      And so with that in mind, the witness has
       24
          been prepared for that limited type of inquiry, and we
09:59:46 25
           have produced documents limited to that inquiry. And
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
10:01:09
       1
           basis of relevancy.
                      Are there any other -- is there any other
           basis on which documents in the clergy file of Nicolas
           Aguilar Rivera have not been produced besides relevancy?
                  MR. WOODS: As I said before, we limit the scope
10:01:22 5
           to jurisdictional facts. We have produced all the
           documents relating to jurisdictional facts. None
           relating to jurisdictional facts have been withheld
           because of a privilege.
10:01:39 10
                      And obviously, just to make it clear, to say
          the opposite, there are privileged documents in the
       11
       12 file, but they don't relate to jurisdiction. So nothing
       13 has been withheld from our scope of production because
       14 of a privilege.
10:01:58 15
                  MR. ANDERSON: What privileges do you believe are
       1,6
           assertable pertaining to the documents that have been
       17
           withheld?
       18
                  MR. WOODS: We haven't made an ascertainment. We
       19
           haven't made a discernment of that because they're
10:02:10 2.0
           totally irrelevant to this proceeding.
       21
                  MR. ANDERSON: If they're in the file of Nicolas
       22
           Aguilar Rivera, how can they be irrelevant to this
       23
           proceeding?
       24
                  MR. WOODS: There may be attorney-client
10:02:20 25
           communications. There may be psychiatric-patient
```

19

17

10:02:25 1 privilege communications. I don't know. Because we didn't -- we didn't make a discernment of documents that are beyond the scope of this deposition. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. I'm going to ask you to 10:02:39 5 give me an answer to my alternative proposal to the nonproduction before the conclusion of the deposition, obviously, of Cardinal Mahony, and I'll simply advise you that it is our position that you're required to produce the file of Nicolas Aguilar Rivera. 10:02:58 10 If there are privileges that are assertable, they need to be identified as such, and we need to be 12 12 allowed -- be allowed to inquire as to whether they're relevant or whether they fall within an identifiable privilege. And for --10:03:15 15 MR. WOODS: Right. Well, I can respond to that 16 right now. I mean it's not appropriate, it's not customary within our discovery procedures to turn over 18 irrelevant or privileged matter to an opponent so that 19 they can determine whether, in their opinion, it's 10:03:30 20 relevant and privileged. We make the determination. And if you want 22 to challenge it, you take it up with the judge, and the 23 judge, if anyone, would make that determination. But we 24 certainly wouldn't give it to our opponent. That would 10:03:43 25 defeat the whole purpose of asserting the objections.

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

10:04:41 1 I'll be happy to try to make it clear to you. Okay? A Pine. Anytime you wish to take a break, feel free to, and we'll -- we'll move through this. to:04:51 A Thank you. Ò It appears to me that you have now been a priest for over 45 years, maybe 46. A Correct. Forty-five. Q Okay. And when you consider the various 10:05:11 10 positions you have held as bishop, it appears also that 11 you have been a bishop for 32 years. 12 A Correct. 13 Q And it would also appear that you have been a 14 cardinal for 16 -- over 16 years now, cardinal 10:05:37 15 archbishop? 16 A That is correct. 17 Q Okay. And in that time, you've also served 18 as vicar general and chancellor, head of Catholic 19 harities, and as a parish priest, as well as a number 10:05:48 20 of other capacities, correct? 21(1) A That is correct. 22 Q Okay. Nicolas Aguilar Rivera was never 23 incardinated into this Archdiocese, was he? A Not to the best of my knowledge, no. 24 10:06:07 25 Q And he would, then, be described fairly as an 10:03:47 MR. ANDERSON: Counsel. I wasn't suggesting you give us the document on which you're asserting the privilege. I was suggesting you give us -- identify the nature of the document and the privilege on which it's 10:03:58. 5 being withheld so that that can be scrutinized. I'm not suggesting you give us the document. As -- as to the documents being withheld on the basis of relevancy pertaining to jurisdiction. I am suggesting, as the alternative proposal, you give us 10:04:12 1.0 those documents. Do you understand? MR. WOODS: I hear it. 17 12 MR. ANDERSÓN: Okav. 73 MR. WOODS: You have my response, and we'll --14 we'll let the judge decide. 10:04:18 1.6 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. 16 BY MR. ANDERSON: 17 Q Okay. Now we can go forward, Cardinal. Good 18 morning. 14 A Good morning. 10:04:25 20 Q Would you please give us your full name for 21 the record? A Roger Michael Mahony. 22 Q Okay. Cardinal, you've been through this 23 protocol before. And if there's any questions that I 10:04:37 25 ask you that you don't understand, just let me know, and

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 97626

10:06:11 1 extern priest, at least at the time that he worked here, A Yes. In our terminology, he would be an 3 extern priest. 10:06:22 5 Q And what is an extern priest? A An extern priest is one from some other jurisdiction besides the Archdiocese of Los Angeles, either a diocese or religious community or some other affiliation, who is permitted to come to this 10:06:46 10 Archdiocese, usually for a limited period of time, to 11 function here as a priest. 12 Q And you understand that he was and still may 13 be a priest of the Diocese of Tehnacen? 14 A Correct. Tehuacan, Mexico. 10:07:18 15 Q Yeah. It is also correct to say that in the 16 case of Nicolas Aguilar Rivera, as in the case of any 17 diocesan priest, that that priest takes and makes a vow 18 of obedience to his superior, correct? 19 A Diocesan priests do not make a vow in the 10:07:42 20 same way as religious do in the three --21 Q They make a promise? 22 But they make a promise of obedience. 23 Q Okay. It's correct to say they make a 24 promise of obedience?

23

10:07:52 25

22

A That's right.

10:07:52 O Okay. And that promise of obedience from the priest to his superior and his superior's successors and in the case of a priest such as Nicolas Aguilar Rivera means what, Cardinal? 10:08:11 A Well, the question asked at ordination is quite broad. It says, "Do you promise respect and obedience to me and my successors?" That's approximately the question, the way it's asked. So it's quite broad. 10:03:26 10 It means, in general, that directives of the bishop or the diocese in which the priest is ordained 11 and serves are to be followed, as well as the directives 12 13 of the bishop and his lawful superiors. 14 Q And that priest diocesan also makes a promise 10:08:52 1.5 of celibate chastity, does he not? A Yes. That is actually made at major orders. 16 which is usually the order of deacon. 17 18 Q And that promise of celibate chastity means 19 what? 10:09:11 20 A That means that he's promising to live a life 21 outside of marriage, not to be married, and to live 22 chastely according to the moral guidance down through 23 our tradition. 24 Q And does that promise include to not engage 10:09:31 25 in any sexual activity with any adult or child?

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L.1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

10:11:37 Monsignor Curry, who was then vicar for clergy, it was 2 not unusual for him to mention to you, as the ordinary, that there was a priest who was seeking or another superior who was seeking to have a priest serve as an 10:11:58 5 extern within the Archdiocese? A Could you rephrase it? It's got a couple of negatives. Q Okay. I will. Thank you. It wasn't unusual for Monsignor Curry to take 10:12:11 10 the topic up of having another priest come into the 11 Archdiocese to serve, correct? 12 A Yes. He would routinely do that. But he may 13 not even give me the names. He would just say the --14 "Myself and the personnel board are reviewing three or 10:12:24 15 four priests who have applied for an assignment. 16 Q Okay. Before Monsignor Curry would have 17 mentioned this one to you in the end of January, do you remember any other priest from Mexico having come or 18 19 considering allowing any other priest to come into the 10:12:43 20 prohilocese to work from Mexico? A No. I do not recall specifically another priest in Mexico. 22 23 Q When Monsignor Curry first mentioned this to 24 you January of '87 --10:13:04 25 MR. WOODS: If he did.

Yes. That's correct. 10:09:36 When in time did you first receive a request that Nicolas Aguilar Rivera be allowed to live and work in the Archdiocese of Los Angeles? 10:10:04 A It was sometime near the end of January 1987 or early March of 1987. Q And how did you receive that request? A Actually, it would have just been something probably mentioned to me by Monsignor Thomas Curry, the 10:10:30 10 vicar for clergy. Q And do you remember today Monsignor Curry, 11 your vicar for clergy, making mention to you that a 12 13 priest from Tehuacan, Nicolas Aquilar Rivera, was 14 intending to come here? 10:10:49 15 A No. I do not recall that specifically. 16 Q What makes you think today, then, that Monsignor Curry mentioned it to you? 17 18 A In our normal course of conversation and 19 especially back in those years, our offices were right 10:11:09 20 next to each other. And from time to time during the 21 week, Monsignor Curry would simply indicate we have 22 these transfers in mind. We have -- this three or four 23 priests have come into the Archdiocese and are looking 24 for assignments, just general update. 10:11:34 25 Q So in the normal course of conversation with

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

10:13:07 BY MR. ANDERSON: Q -- did you or do you recall thinking it unusual that a priest from Mexico would be coming or considered to be coming to serve in the U.S.? 10:13:21 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not 5 MR. WOODS: He's just asking if you have a recollection of your feeling at that time THE WITNESS: My understanding was we had many 10:13:33 10 priests come and serve here for limited periods from 11 Mexico and many other countries over those years, especially from Mexico to Latin America. 12 13 BY MR. ANDERSON: 14 Q And this Archdiocese has got a heavy or a 10:13:47 15 large Latino population, does it not? 16 A That is correct 17 What do you think the composition is in the 18 Archdiocese of Latino Catholics? Any idea? 19 A You mean in 1987 or today? 10:14:04 20 A I would estimate it would be fairly 21 22 substantial, possibly 50 percent. 23 Q And did you know or do you know whether or 24 not Nicolas Aguilar Rivera speaks English? 10:14:26 25 A No. I do not know,

27

25

10:14:28	1	Q You speak Spanish, do you not?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q Fluent?
	4	A Well, mas o menos.
10:14:32	5	Q Okay.
	6	A More or less.
	7	Q Yeah, After Monsignor Curry perhaps
	8	mentioned to you that Nicolas Aguilar Rivera was seeking
	9	to work here and discussed that with you, what, to your
10:15:10	10	knowledge, is the next thing that happened pertaining to
	11	Nicolas Aguilar Rivera and his work in L.A.?
	12	MR. WOODS: Okay. I object that the question may
	13	call for facts not in evidence and beyond the testimony.
	14	I believe he said that Cardinal Monsignor Curry may
10:15:33	15	have mentioned transfer from Mexico, but he didn't say
	16	he discussed it with him.
	17	But I'll let him answer the question with
	18	that objection.
	19	THE WITNESS: Well, as I said earlier, he would
10:15:47	20	most frequently say we have a group of priests from
	21	outside seeking assignment. I don't recall him
	22	mentioning the names specifically. So I couldn't recall
	23	if he mentioned that name. I don't recall it at all.
	24	BY MR. ANDERSON:
10:16:06	25	Q Okay. When is the first time, Cardinal, that

2 Curry got the information that Nicolas Aguilar Rivera 3 was suspected of this crime or these crimes, he would 4 have brought it to you right away as the kind of 10:18:01 5 information that was important, correct? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Okay. Do you have a date that that would 10:18:15 10 9 A No. I don't recall exactly what that date 10:18:15 10 11 Q Have you reviewed any of the documents in 12 Nicolas Aguilar Rivera's file?	- 1
have brought it to you right sway as the kind of  10:18:01 5  A Yes.  Q Okay. Do you have a date that that would have happened in mind?  A No. I don't recall exactly what that date  10:18:15 10  Was.  Q Have you reviewed any of the documents in	
10:18:01 5 information that was important, correct?  6 A Yes.  7 Q Okay. Do you have a date that that would have happened in mind?  9 A No. I don't recall exactly what that date 10:18:15 10 was.  11 Q Have you reviewed any of the documents in	
6 A Yes. 7 Q Okay. Do you have a date that that would have happened in mind? 9 A No. I don't recall exactly what that date 10:18:15 10 was. 11 Q Have you reviewed any of the documents in	
Q Okay. Do you have a date that that would have happened in mind?  A No. I don't recall exactly what that date was.  10:18:15 10 Was.  11 Q Have you reviewed any of the documents in	i i
have happened in mind?  A No. I don't recall exactly what that date  10:18:15 10 was.  11 Q Have you reviewed any of the documents in	
9 A No. I don't recall exactly what that date 10:18:15 10 was. 11 Q Have you reviewed any of the documents in	
10:18:15 10 was.  11 Q Have you reviewed any of the documents in	-
11 Q Bave you reviewed any of the documents in	
	- 1
12 Nicolas Aguilar Rivera's file?	ĺ
A Yes.	-
Q Okay. Have you reviewed the file in its	
10:18:25 15 entirety?	
16 A NO.	-
17 Q Okay. What portions of it have you review	ed?
18 A I reviewed these documents that were prepa	red
19 for this deposition.	<u> </u>
10:18:37 20 0 Okay. And in preparation for this	
21 deposition, have you reviewed any documents other tha	n
22 Those that were just produced here to us today?	Ì
23 A I reviewed briefly the deposition of Cardi	
24 Norberto Rivera and Bishop Rodrigo Aguilar Martinez.	nal
10:18:58 25 Q Okay. Have you ever spoken with either	nal
	nal

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

10:16:09	1	the name Nicolas Aguilar Rivera as a priest either
	2	coming to L.A. or serving in L.A. came into your stream
	3	of consciousness?
	4	A My recollection would be after we learned of
10:16:31	5	the misconduct.
	6	Q And when did you first learn of the
	7	misconduct?
	8	A I don't remember the exact date, but I do
	9	remember Monsignor Curry telling me as soon as he found
10:16:51	10	out.
	11	Q And when you say "misconduct," you're
	12	referring to criminal sexual conduct by Nicolas Aguilar
	13	Rivera that is sexual abuse of minors, correct?
	14	A That is correct.
10:17:05	15	Q Okay. And so the first time you learned that
	16	Nicolas Aguilar Rivera had engaged or was suspected of
	17	engaging in criminal sexual conduct was from Monsignor
	18	Curry?
	19	A That's my best recollection.
10:17:31	20	Q And it was Monsignor Curry's job as vicar for
	21	clergy if he got that information to bring it to you
	22	immediately as his superior and the Ordinary, correct?
	23	A That is correct.
,	24	Q And he did that, as far as you know?
10:17:45	25	A Yes, as far as I recall.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626 30

```
10:19:04
          Bishop Martinez or Cardinal Norberto Rivera?
                 A I spoke with Cardinal Rivera one time when
       2
          this whole matter became public and Father Aquilar had
        3
          disappeared.
10:19:27
      5
                 0 When was -- when was that?
                 A I don't recall the exact date. It may be
           referenced in these documents.
                 Q Okay. We'll go back to that, and that may --
           may help refresh your recollection as to a date or time.
10:19:45 10
                     Let's go back, then, Cardinal, to the moment
           or day in time where you first learned from Monsignor
       11
       12
          Curry that Nicolas Aguilar Rivera had been or was
          suspected of crimes against children.
       13
                      What did Monsignor Curry tell you?
       14
                 MR. WOODS: Okay. I object to the question as
10:20:12 15
       16 beyond the scope of the limited nature of this
           deposition. As my preliminary statement indicated, this
       17
          deposition is limited to contacts with the two Mexican
       18
       19
           defendants.
10:20:31 20
                     Discussions between Monsignor Curry and the
       21 Cardinal about complaints or allegations of misconduct
           by Aguilar Rivera do not relate to jurisdiction, and so
       22
          I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer that
       23
       24
           question.
10:20:51 25
                  MR. ANDERSON: Counsel, I'm going to urge you to
```

reconsider that instruction. It is an inquiry that is 10:20:53 essential to the central inquiry here, and that is Monsignor Curry and others could have already been in contact with the foreign defendant here. MR. WOODS: You can ask him that 10:21:15 .. 5 MR. ANDERSON: No. That doesn't -- that doesn't permit a full inquiry into this, both circumstantially and otherwise. And in order to make a full or fair inquiry into the jurisdiction, it is imperative that I 10:21:34 10 be allowed to inquire as to what the Cardinal heard from 11 Monsignor Curry and anybody else possessed of the knowledge of -- of Nicolas Aguilar Rivera. 12 And if -- if you persist in that, that 13 will -- that will hasten this deposition towards a quick 14 court appearance before Judge Berle. 10:21:57 15 MR. WOODS: Okay. Well, if you persist in 16 thinking and arquing that discussions about the 17 allegations of misconduct has some relevance to 18 jurisdiction over the Mexican defendants, I think you'll 19 10:22:14 20 have to get an order from the judge. Because, you know, my reading of the case law on jurisdiction does not 21 indicate that that has any relevance whatsoever to 22 23 24 MR ANDERSON: And so the basis for the 10:22:33 25 instruction to not answer is relevance?

> HAHN & ROWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

10:23:44 MR. ANDERSON: I think we should ask move questions to formulate the foundation for that, and I have no objection to attempting to make contact with the court. 10:24:13 5 BY MR. ANDERSON: Q How did Monsignor Curry learn that Nicolas Aquilar Rivera was suspected of criminal sexual conduct? MR. WOODS: Same objection. MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation. 10:24:28 1.0 MR. WOODS: And same instruction. 11 BY MR. ANDERSON: 12 Q How long was your conversation with Monsignor curry? 13 14 MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction. 10:24:56 15 BY MR. ANDERSON: 16 Q At the time that Monsignor Curry communicated 17 this information to you, had he spoken to Nicolas 18 Aguilar Rivera? 19 💆 MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction. 10:25:12 20 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation. 21 BY MR. ANDERSON: 22 Q What did you do, Cardinal, responsive to 23 Monsignor Curry immediately bringing this information to 24 you that Nicolas Aguilar Rivera was suspected of 10:25:29 25 criminal sexual conduct?

10:22:37 BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Are you going to follow that instruction, 10:22:42 Yes. O Okav MR. SELSBERG: Excuse me. Can y'all inquire to the judge whether he's willing to resolve any of these disputes on the scope of the deposition today while 10:22:56 10 MR. ANDERSON: Let's see where it goes. No 11 inquiry's been made. I didn't anticipate, frankly, such 12 an objection. So it comes as a surprise to me, so we'll see where it goes. 14 10:23:10 15 MR. SELSBERG: He was willing to do it with 16 respect to our deposition. Perhaps he'd be willing to 17 do it with respect to this one. MR. ANDERSON: Well, let's see. Let's see. 18 MR. SELSBERG: On behalf of my clients, I'm 19 10:23:21 20 asking you both to -- to seek relief from -- from the 21 court today as it -- unless -- we would like to finish 22 this deposition and not reconvene or brief the issue. 23 We oppose any delay in the hearing date. So we ask that 24 viall contact the judge and see if he's willing to do 10:23:43 25 that.

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92616

```
10:25:31
                  MR. WOODS: All right. That's a very broad
       1
           question. I'd ask you to rephrase it to limit it to
           what did he do in terms of contacting any of the
           defendant -- Mexican defendants in this case.
10:25:48
                      Inquiry along those lines, I would permit.
           But any other responses to it are beyond the score of
           this jurisdictional deposition, and I would instruct the
           witness not to answer. And since the question is so
           broad, it's difficult for a lay witness to deal with
10:26:02 1.0
           those two distinctions.
       11
                      I'm going to instruct him not to answer the
       12
           question as phrased.
       13
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       14
                  Q Did you understand the question, Cardinal?
10:26:12 15
                  A Yes.
       16
                  Q Okay. What did you do in response to what
       17
           Monsignor Curry told you about Nicolas Aquilar Rivera?
       18
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. The same objection. That's
       19
           the same question. It's the same objection I made to
10:26:24 20
           the last question, and I'll instruct him not to answer
       21
           ít.
       22
                  MR. ANDERSON: Is the instruction "relevancy"?
       23
                  MR. WOODS: It's -- ves. As I explained, the
       24
           question is so broad.
10:26:36 25
                  MR. ANDERSON: Just give me the legal objection
```

so we can deal with the judge. 10:26:37 1 MR. WOODS: Just legal. It's relevance --MR. ANDERSON: Okay. MR. WOODS: -- as explained when you asked the 10:26:42 5 same question before. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q What next -- did you take any action responsive to what Monsignor Curry told you? MR. WOODS: Same objection. The question is so 10:27:06 10 broad that it includes matter relevant to this inquiry and matter that isn't relevant to this inquiry. So I'm 11 12 going to instruct him not to answer but invite you to 13 rephrase the question to include relevant matter. 14 BY MR. ANDERSON: 10:27:29 15 O Did Monsignor Curry tell you that Nicolas 16 Aquilar Rivera was an extern priest serving in the Archdiocese from Mexico? 17 MR. WOODS: At any time? 18 MR. ANDERSON: In this conversation. 19 THE WITNESS: In the what? 10:27:44 20 23 MR. ANDERSON: In the conversation where Monsignor Curry told you that Aguilar had been suspected 22 23 of misconduct. MR. WOODS: In the very first conversation is 24 what he's referring back to. 10:27:54 25

Did you know Norberto Rivera as the bishop of

## HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

Tehnacan at that time: pid he tell you about the circumstances in 10:28:52 which -- in that conversation in which Nicolas Aquilar Rivera had come to L.A. from Tehuacan? A I don't recall if he did or not Q Did you ever receive information from Monsignor Curry about the circumstances of Aquilar 10:29:15 10 Rivera's departure from Mexico and the reasons for it? 11 A At some point as this was unfolding, I suspect that that's when he gave me the information. 12 13 Q Okay. And is that -- are you referring to 14 Monsignor Curry? 10:29:36 15 A I'm referring to Monsignor Curry. 16 Q How long after that first conversation did 17 Monsignor Curry give you additional information concerning the circumstances of Rivera's departure from 18 19 Mexico 10:29:48 20 A I don't recall exactly. 21 Would it have been days or weeks or months? 22 A It would have been in the context of the 23 unfolding of these events in early 1988, but I don't 24 recall precisely. 10:30:24 25 Q After you learned that this was -- when

10:28:41

```
10:27:56
                 MR. ANDERSON: Yes
                 MR. WOODS: Okay. Did he tell you he was an
           extern from Mexico?
                 THE WITNESS: I believe so.
10:28:00
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q What did he tell you?
                 A I don't recall exactly.
                 Q Did he tell you when he had come here?
                 A I don't recall. It was 20 years ago.
10:28:13 10
                 Q Did he tell you the diocese from which he
       11
                 MR. WOODS: Again, limited to the first
       12
       13
           conversation.
                 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
10:28:19 15
                 MR. WOODS: Okay.
                 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall if he
           mentioned the name. He probably mentioned Mexico, but
       18 the diocese I'm not sure.
       19 BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q Did he tell you that he was -- his superior
           was Norberto Rivera, the bishop -- them the bishop of
       23
                  A At that time?
10:28:38 25
                    I don't recall.
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
Monsignor Curry brought this information to you as vicar
10:30:43
          for clergy, did you direct him to take action responsive
          to the situation involving Nicolas Aguilar Rivera?
                  MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the form of
10:31:00 5
          the question as beyond the scope of this deposition and
          instruct the witness not to answer.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Relevancy?
                 MR. WOODS: Yes.
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
10:31:13 10
                  Q Do you know if Monsignor Curry did take
           action responsive to the information he received
       11
       12
           concerning the risk posed by Aguilar Rivera?
      13
                  MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
       14
10:31:27 15
                  Q Monsignor Curry gave you enough information
           to know that Nicolas Aguilar Rivera posed a danger to
       16
           children in the Archdiocese, didn't he?
       17
                  MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
       18
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       19
                  Q After the conversation with Monsignor Curry,
10:31:46 20
       21
           when is the next time you received information from any
       22
           source that Nicolas Aguilar Rivera posed a risk of harm
       23
                  MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
10:32:06 25
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
```

10:32:07 What did the Archdiocesan officials, acting at your direction, or you, as the Cardinal Archbishop, do to protect the children of the Archdiocese of L.A. and -- and Mexico from the risk of harm posed by Nicolas 10:32:29 Aquilar Rivera MR. WOODS: Okay. I'm going to object that the question assumes numerous facts not in evidence, is argumentative, and is beyond the scope of the limited nature of this deposition and instruct the witness not 10:32:43 10 to answer. 11 BY MR. ANDERSON: Q In terms of your normal custom and 12 procedures, Cardinal, when a priest comes to the 13 14 Archdiocese of L.A. or when you served in Stockton or 10:33:04 15 Fresno as -- in position of Ordinary or otherwise, was 16 it customary for bishops or the Ordinaries to exchange information concerning the transfer of priests by -- by 17 18 telephone before they get transferred? A Normally not. Usually done in writing, 19 10:33:26 2.0 O If a priest is coming into the L.A. Archdiocese, in this case Nicolas Aquilar Rivera, in 21 22 1987, wouldn't it have been customary for the L.A. 23 Archdiocese to, before he serves as a priest, to get information about his fitness to serve? 24 10:33:50 25 A That is usually done by a letter of

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

10:34:58 And beyond this Exhibit B1, which is written in Spanish, and a translation is available here. I'll grab it in a moment, did you or the Archdiocese get any other references, recommendations, or information from 10:35:18 9 the Diocese of Tehuacan before receiving him and placing him in a parish here? A Well, we are guided by Canon Law. Canon 271, paragraphs 1, 2, and 3, instruct us that before we recommend somebody for service, that they be fit for 10:35:41 10 ministry. So the presumption is when I would get a letter like this one, that this priest is fit for 11 ministry without problems. 12 13 O And so when you received this letter and the Archdiocese received this letter from Diocese of 14 Tehuacan, in effect, this represents to you, as the 10:35:59 15 16 Ordinary, that this priest is fit for ministry, correct? 17 A Yes. 18 Q And you relied upon this letter when he was Allowed to work in this Archdiocese, correct? 19 10:36:14 20 A That is correct. 21 O And you believed you could trust the sender 22 of this letter on the letterhead of -- of -- and from 23 the Diocese of Tehuacan? 24 A Yes. 10:36:29 25 Q And is it fair to say, then, that Cardinal -- 10:33:52 1 recommendation from the bishop or the superior. Q And in the case of Nicolas Aquilar Rivera. was a letter of recommendation made by his superior --Yes. 10:34:02 -- to the L.A. Archdiocese and you? Q Yes. Okay. And who made -- who -- who made the 0 recommendation? A The recommendation was made by Bishop 10:34:16 10 Norberto Rivera, bishop of Tehuacan. 11 Q Okay. Are you referring to a document when you answer that? Are you looking at a document? 12 13 A Yes, I am. 14 Q Is that page 1 of the production that you 10:34:26 1.5 gave us? Let me see. Okav. 16 Yes. It's that page you're looking at. 17 Q Okay. And that -- that -- for purpose of the 18 record, this is marked "Exhibit B." and that's page 1 of 19 B. That's Bates stamped 1. Do you see that Bates-stamp 10:34:46 20 number? 21 Yes. 22 Q Okay. In this document we'll use -- we'll 23 use the Bates-stamp numbers, referring to it as B1, B2, 24 and so forth. That's in Spanish, and I'll cover that 10:34:56 25 with you.

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L! COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
now Cardinal Rivers, them Bishop Rivers, is the one that
10:36:33
          certified and -- to you the fitness of Nicolas Aguilar
          Rivera to serve in this Archdiocese by reason of this
                 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; mischaracterizes
10:36:54
          testimony, assumes facts not in evidence.
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q You can answer that,
                  A Yes. I interpreted this letter to be a
10:37:07 10 letter stating this priest is in good standing and that
       11 he has no reason to not give him -- to give me
          permission to accept him.
       12
                  Q Okay. I'm going to show you -- let's --
       13
          let's -- I've got a translation of this.
       14
10:37:28 15
                      And, Counsel, for all of the documents that
           we're going to use, we've got a certification attached,
       16
          And just so -- what we did to make it easy is use the
       17
           Bates-stamp production numbers as the exhibit numbers.
       19 And you'll see that the English -- the Bates-stamped
10:37:44 20 production of this letter is 23, so I'm going to mark
           this Exhibit 23.
       21
       22
                  MR. WOODS; Okay,
       23
                  MR. ANDERSON: And use this as Exhibit 23.
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was introduced and
       24
           marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
10:37:52 25
```

3

```
10:37:52
          Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
                 MR. WOODS: Can you make it C-23? Oh. no.
                  MR. ANDERSON: No.
                  MR. WOODS: That will --
10:37:59
                  MR. ANDERSON: No. The English -- the English:--
                  MR. WOODS: There's going to be -- there may be a
          Bates page in here 23.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Well, we'll deal with that because
           that will be B-23.
10:38:10 10
                  MR. WOODS: That will -- okay.
       12
                  MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
                  MR. WOODS: Yours is plain 23.
       12
       13
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes. Yes.
                  MR. WOODS: Okay.
10:38:14 15
                  MR. ANDERSON: And just so you know, and
       16 Mr. Waters is showing you, the first page will be the
       17 Spanish version. The second page will be the
          translation.
       18
                  MR. WATERS: And that's labeled 23A.
       19
                  MR. ANDERSON: And that will be marked 23A. So
10:38:27 20
           when we're referring to the translation of any one of
       21
          these documents, it will have an A following it. So in
       22
          this instance, it's 23A. And then attached to it or
       23
           appended to it is the official translation. Okay?
       24
                  MR. WOODS: Okay.
10:38:42 25
```

```
whether we agree that those are, in fact, correct
10:39:32
           translations or not.
                  MR. ANDERSON: That's understood.
10:39:48
                  Q Okay. Cardinal, I've placed before you what
           is the translation that we received that is what we call
           an official translation. And as counsel says,
           translations may not be perfect, and there may )
           disagreements about them. But this is what we have for
10:40:09 10
       11
                      And this exhibit, the letter of basically
       12
           fitness for ministry pertaining to Nicolas Aguilar
       13
           Rivera, this is the letter, isn't it, the English
       14
10:40:29 15
                  MR. SELSBERG: Do you have a copy for us?
       16
            Mr. Anderson, do you have a copy for us?
       17
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes
           3
       18
                  MR, SELSBERG: Thank you.
                  MR. WOODS: Well, do you have another one?
       19
10:40:48 20
                  MR. WATERS: I have one more copy that's going to
       21 Be used in the deposition this afternoon. So I only
       22
            Mave -- I brought three copies.
       23
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. I'll look over here.
       24
10:40:56 25
                  Q Is this the letter in English?
```

```
10:38:42
                  MR. ANDERSON: And Mr. Waters is --
                 MR. WOODS: Do you have one for the court
          reporter? Do you want to give one to the court
10:38:49
       5
                 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah
                 MR. WOODS: And this isn't marked.
                 MR. SELSBERG: You're using documents that were
          produced for the deposition or they were produced
        9
          earlier and you decided to use them so you got a
          translation --
10:38:57 10
                 MR. ANDERSON: Yes,
       11
      12
                  MR. SELSBERG: -- that's certified?
      13
                 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. These are documents produced
       14
           by -- by you.
10:39:04 15
                 MR. SELSBERG: Oh, I understand.
       16
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. So I would ask the court
       17
           reporter to now mark that proffered exhibit as --
                  MR. ANDERSON: It's already been marked.
       18
                  MR. WOODS: What?
10:39:15 20
                  MR. ANDERSON: 23, 23A.
                  MR. WOODS: Well --
                  MR. SELSBERG: And let me just say for the record
           that I have not reviewed -- we have not reviewed or had
          a chance to review the translations that the plaintiff
10:39:28 25 is offering here today, and so we don't have any idea
```

HABN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
10:40:58
                 A Yes. But I already have a problem with the
       2
           translation and the emphasis.
       3
                 O Okav.
                 A May I tell you what the problem is?
10:41:09
       5
                 Q Sure. Why don't you -- sure. Why don't you
       6
           start by doing that. We'll make the correction as we
           need to.
                 A Because one of the most important sentences
           in the original letter is "No tendo mingum
10:41:17 10 inconveniente, etc., down to "Iglesia Local."
       11
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. Hold on. We've got a problem
       12
       13
                  MR. ANDERSON: She's not going to get that. Why
       14
          don't you just say there's a problem at line -- let's
10:41:28 15
           number the letter by line. And the first line begins
           with "Warmest greetings." The second line is "Due to
       16
           health. The third line in the letter --
       17
       18
                  MR. WOODS: You're talking about the English
       19
           version.
10:41:43 20
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah.
       21
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
       22
                  THE WITNESS: This is the document I'm relying
       23
           on.
       24 BY MR. ANDERSON:
10:41:49 25
                  Q Okay. Let's -- let's instead of -- instead
```

43

of identifying it that way, why don't you read the 10:41:51 1 2 document that you're referring to in English. 3 A Okay, O That will be the way the court reporter can 5 10:42:02 do that. And Cardinal, when you're reading the document, it's important you read it slowly so she can get that down. MR. WOODS: Okav. BY MR. ANDERSON: 10:42:10 10 Q And you'll be reading the Spanish version, 11 and you become the interpreter because you'll be reading 12 this into the record the way you read it when you 13 received it, correct? 14 MR. SELSBERG: Objection as to --THE WITNESS: I'm not an official translator, and 10:42:23 1.5 16 I don't think this is necessarily a good translation, 17 so --18 MR. ANDERSON: No. I'm not asking --19 (Interruption by the reporter.) 10:42:35 2.0 MR. SELSBERG: My objection is that if I 21 understood what Mr. Anderson said, that he wants the 22 witness to read it as he -- he -- and understand it as 23 he first read and understand it when he received it. I thought the witness testified that he never heard of 24 10:42:52 25 this guy Nicolas Aguilar Rivera.

#### HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
10:44:03
          Archdiocese?
                 A No, I don't.
        2
                 Q Okay. In your files, is there a "Received"
           stamp that shows when it was received?
10:44:13
                 A No.
                 Q Okay. So it's fair to assume, is it,
           Cardinal, that a received some days -- was it -- was it
           sent by U.S. mail?
                 A I don't know.
10:44:25 10
                 Q Okay. Okay. Why don't you read this
       11
           document, then, beginning with "Dearest Archbishop,"
           and -- and read what it says.
       12
       13
                 MR. WOODS: In English?
       14
                 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
10:44:42 15
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. I -- okay. I think we all
       16
           understand that the Cardinal is not a certified court
           interpreter or translator. He obviously has a working
       17
       18
            knowledge of Spanish.
       19
                     I don't mind him giving a free-form
10:45:13 20 Franslation. It wouldn't be anything like an official
       21 (translation, and I don't know that you can really rely
       22
           on it in any way. But if it -- if it's preliminary to
       23
           asking him a question, I don't mind it.
       24
                  MR. ANDERSON: Well, look --
10:45:28 25
                  MR. WOODS: But there are so many documents here
```

```
MR. ANDERSON: Give us the legal objection.
10:42:57
           Don't -- don't give us a characterization of his
           testimony, Counsel. What's your legal objection? Just
        3
           give it to us.
                  MR. SELSBERG: Assumes facts not in evidence.
10:43:04
       5
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Okay. Now, Cardinal, here's what we're going
           to do. Let's keep it simple, and let's keep it clear.
           What we'll do is you have the Spanish version in front
           of you. You had a -- you had a problem with the
10:43:15 10
           translation that we had done. I respect and understand
       11
       12
           that.
                      And what we -- what's most important is we
       13
       14
           get from you how you read this letter. And whether it's
10:43:29 15 a correct translation or not isn't as important as how
       16 you would read it or did read it at the time you
       17
           received it first.
                      The Spanish version of this letter, dated --
       18
       19 the date at the right-hand corner is January 27th, 1987,
10:43:46 20
           is it not?
       21
                  A Yes.
       22
                  O And it would have been received by you as
       23
           Cardinal Archbishop because it's addressed by you
       24
            presumably some -- some date after it was sent. Do you
 10:44:00 25
           know when it was received by the -- by you and the
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
in Spanish, we'll be here for the next week if we do it
10:45:30
           that way.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Now, we just want to do it the
           simplest way.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
10:45:36
       5
                  Q Cardinal, you said there was a problem with
           the translation -
                  MR. WOODS: Can I help you out?
                  MR. ANDERSON: So -- no. No. I can do this. I
10:45:42 10
           can do this. I don't need your help. Thank you. I
           appreciate the offer, but I don't need it.
       11
                  MR. WOODS: Okay.
       12
       1,3
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Cardinal, I think, you know, the best way for
       14
10:45:49 15
           us, and I'm asking you, you're the one that relied upon
       16
           this letter, right?
       17
                  A Yes
       18
                      And you're the one that relied upon the
       19
           information in the letter, correct?
10:46:02 20
                  A Yes.
       21
                      To allow Nicolas Aguilar Rivera to serve and
       22
            work in this Archdiocese, right?
                  MR. WOODS: Well, he or his vicar relied on it.
       23
       24
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
10:46:11 25
                  Q Well, Cardinal, in the final analysis as the
```

51

10:46:14 7 Ordinary, the Archbishop Cardinal, you're the guy responsible for the assignments, the transfer, and the 2 work done of all the priests of the Archdiocese. correct? 10:46:24 5 A Yes. Q Okay. And you can delegate certain things to your vicars and to your auxiliaries and the like, but ultimately, you're the guy, right? A Yes, except it should be noted that in the 10:46:37 10 assignment of assistant pastors, the associate pastors, 11 that's done entirely by the vicar for clergy, who sends 12 the letters, makes appointment, and everything. 13 O And you basically delegate that authority, 14 however, to that vicar, do you not? 10/46/53 15 A That's correct. 16 O So that's a delegated authority from you to 17 the vicar, correct? 18 A (Nonverbal response.) 19 Q Okay. So now we have that clear, let's qo 10:46:59 20 back to the letter. And the letter's the one you relied 21 upon. Did you rely upon any other information received 22 from the Diocese of Tehuacan or anybody else other than 23 this letter before or in reliance of Nicolas Aquilar 24 Rivera serving here? 10:47:15 25 A No.

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

10:48:30	1	A They've got it reversed.
	2	Q Yes.
	3	MR. SELSBERG: And actually, I have a translation
	4	that's
10:48:37	5	MR. ANDERSON: Better?
	6	MR. SELSBERG: comports that is it's
	7	more like the witness's than yours.
	8	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Let's use that one.
	9	MR. SELSBERG: I have my notes all over it. I
10:48:45	10	have my attorney notes all over it.
	11	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Well, let's let's get
	12	let's get does that comport with the one that the
	13	Cardinal just did?
	14	MR. SELSBERG: Some of it. But it's really
10:48:57	15	it's different than yours. Go shead and do what you're
	16	doing. I'm just telling you that it is different.
	17	MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
	18	MR. SELSBERG: We should try to work that out at
	19	Zome point.
10:49:05	20	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. I think we will. And we're
	21	not going to have any difficulty.
	22	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	23	Q What's what's important is what you read
	24	and what you believe it says. And so we this is an
10:49:12	25	important letter, is it not, Cardinal? Because, look,

. Г

```
10:47:16
                 ٥
                    Okay. This is it right here?
                     Correct.
                 A
                 ٥
                    Okay. So let's have you read for me what you
           believe the letter savs.
10:47:26
                 A It would be a lot faster if I just told you
           where I thought the errors were.
                 Q If you like to do that, we can -- we can do
          that.
                 A Yeah. There -- just in that middle
10:47:36 1.0
          paragraph, "Due to health and family reasons" --
       11
                 O Yes.
       12
                    -- the second line savs *which belongs.*
                 А
      13
          That should be "who belongs."
       14
                 o Oh.
10:47:47 15
                 A But the -- my main concern is the septence in
       16 that paragraph. "If his Excellency were to accept him.
          don't have any," I think means "I don't have any, " "Yo
       17
       18
          no tengo."
       19
                 O Yes. "Yo" is "I." yeah.
10:48:05 20
                 A But the translation switches the emphasis.
       21 The -- the original says -- begins "I do not have any
       22
          problem or reason in granting you my permission if Your
       23
          Excellency were to accept him to work in your local
          church. " So that's an important emphasis.
       24
10:48:28 25
                 O Yes
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
this is the basis on which this guy was allowed to serve
10:49:14
           here, right?
                      You know, and so we got to get this -- we got
10:49:21
           to get what you were told by Cardinal -- now Cardinal
           Rivera, then Bishop Rivera, about this guy's fitness.
           Because basically, it's correct to say that -- that
           then-Bishop Rivera certified to you that this was a
           priest that was both safe and celibate to serve in your
10:49:38 10
           Archdiocese, right?
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection. That assumes facts not
       11
       12
           evidence and mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.
       13
           Is that a question?
       14
                  THE WITNESS: My understanding was it was meeting
10;49;50 15
           the provisions of Canon 271.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
       16
       17
                  THE WITNESS: He was fit for ministry.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       18
                  Q And this letter sent by him to you, in
       19
10:49:58 20
           effect, certified to you, represented to you, that
       21
           Nicolas Aguilar Rivera was both safe and celibate and
       22
           fit to serve as a priest in your Archdiocese, correct?
       23
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection.
                  MR. WOODS: I'm going to object that it's
       24
10:50:18 25
           compound.
```

10:50:18	1	MR. SELSBERG: Assumes facts not in evidence.
	2	MR. WOODS: Oops. I'm sorry. Go ahead, Steve.
	3	MR. SELSBERG: Go ahead.
	4	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object that it's
10:50:26	5	compound. There's a string of things there. Maybe you
	6	want to take them one at a time.
	7	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. I'd be happy to.
	8	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	ا و	Q Cardinal, does this letter sent to you by the
10:50:33	10	Diocese of Tehuacan and Norberto Rivera in effect make a
	77	representation to you through the U.S. mail did it
	12	make a representation to you through the U.S. mail?
	13	MR. WOODS: Okay.
	14	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
10:50:53	15	evidence. Vague, mischaracterizes testimony.
	16	MR. WOODS: Right. He's already testified he
	17	doesn't know if it came through the mail.
	18	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	19	Q Well, how do you think this letter got to
10:51:03	20	you?
	21	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
	22	MR. WOODS: Okay. Do you know how the letter got
	23	to you?
	24	MR. ANDERSON: Don, I don't need the
10,51:11	25	MR. WOODS: You don't want him to speculate.

10:51:47	1	Q Okay. Do you have have you received any
	2	information in connection with this case that leads you
	3	to believe that the priest presented this letter in
	4	person to you and the Archdiocese?
10:52:09	5	A No.
	6	Q Do you believe that this letter was sent
	7	before permission was granted him to serve and work
	8	here?
	9	A I just don't know.
10:52:21	10	Q Okay. In any case, other than by hand
	11	delivery, is there any other way for this to have been
	12	received by you other than the U.S. mail or
	13	hand-delivery?
	14	MR. SELSBERG: I'm sorry, Could you repeat
10:52:34	15	that read that back, please?
	16	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	17	Q Is there any way for you to have received
	18	this other than by U.S. mail or hand-delivery by the
	19	by the priest?
10:52:45	20	A Not that I'm aware of.
	21	Q Okay. You weren't using faxes back then,
	22	Were you?
	23	A I believe fax machines were being used in
	24	those years, yeah.
10:53:12	25	Q Could have been faxed then, too?

1 )

```
10:51:13 1
                 MR. ANDERSON: I asked him the question. You
           repeated the question. Just -- let's just keep it
                 MR. WOODS: Okay.
10:51:17
                 MR. ANDERSON: I don't need you to repeat my
                 MR. WOODS: Okay. Ask it again. I'll object.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q How did the letter get to you?
10:51:23 1.0
                 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
                 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
                 Q Is there any way it could have gotten to you
       13
           but other than by mail?
       14
10:51:32 15
                 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
       16
                 MR. WOODS: Same objection, but you can answer.
       17
                 THE WITNESS: Yes.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       18
       19
10:51:39 20
                 A The priest brought it with him.
                 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
       21
       22
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       23
                 Q Do you have some reason to believe that the
       24
          priest brought this letter with him?
10:51:46 25
```

# HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KÁLMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

10:53;16	١,	A Possibly.
	2	Q If it had been, would your would your file
	3	copy reflect that it would have been received by a fax
	4	machine?
10:53:23	5	A I don't remember the capability of our fax
	6	machine in 1987, but they normally print something along
	7	the top.
	8	Q Rìght. Okay. So how do you thìnk you
	9	got this how do you think this letter was sent?
10:53:37	10	By by hand delivery or by U.S. mail?
	11	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
	12	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	23	Q That's why I'm asking what you think.
	14	A I just don't know.
10:53:45	15	Q Okay. Besides you, who who might know
	16	that?
	17	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
	18	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	19	Q Who is in the best position to know that?
10:53:56	20	A Probably then Monsignor Curry.
	21	Q Okay. He's coming up, We'll ask him.
	22	Let's go, then, to the letter. But before I
	23	do, I need to finish the question that I began. This
	24	letter certified Nicolas Aguilar Rivera Rivera's
10:54:15	25	fitness to you, and you relied upon them-Bishop Norberto

59

		<u>-</u>
10:54:23	1	Rivera, correct?
	2	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
	3	evidence.
	4	MR. WOODS: Yeah. You keep inserting "you" in
10:54:30	\$	when he's made it, I thought, fairly clear that the
	6	vicar for clergy was the one who handled this by
	7	delegation.
	8	MR. ANDERSON: Counsel no.
	9	MR. WOODS: So you
10:54:43	10	MR. ANDERSON: Let him answer the question.
	11	MR. WOODS: All right.
	12	MR. ANDERSON: He can tell me if he doesn't
	13	understand it.
	14	BY MR. ANDERSON:
10:54:46	15	Q You're the one this letter is addressed to,
	16	right?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q And you're the one responsible ultimately for
	19	the placement of and the permission of Nicolas Aguilar
10:55:00	20	Rivera to work in L.A. Archdiocese, correct?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Okay. And it is you, then, as the Ordinary
	23	that relied upon Bishop Rivera when he certified the
	24	fitness of Nicolas Aguilar Nicolas Aguilar Rivera to
10:55:25	25	serve.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

	- 1	•
10:56:43	1	of it down the line.
	2	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	3	Q Okay. Cardinal, every question that I'm
	4	asking you is in your capacity as the Archbishop
10:56:53	5	Cardinal, And in your capacity as the Archbishop
	6	Cardinal, it's correct to say that you relied upon the
	7	certification given in this letter in permitting Nicolas
	В	Aguilar Rivera to work in the L.A. Archdiocese?
	9	MR. WOODS: Okay.
10:57:17	10	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
	11	evidence and mischaracterizes his testimony.
	12	MR. WOODS: Yeah. I think if you said "Did you
	13	personally" versus "you accepting the" the the
	14	MR. ANDERSON: Don't tell me how let him
10:57:32	15	answer the question.
	16	MR. WOODS: Okay. But the question
	17	MR. ANDERSON: Don't tell me how to ask it. I
	18	don't need your help.
	19	MR. WOODS: It's confusing. It's confusing for
10:57:38	20	The reasons I've stated, so I'm going to instruct him
	21	not to answer it because I think you can rephrase it.
	22	MR. ANDERSON: Unless the Cardinal says it's
	23	confusing, Don, let's not waste time on this.
	24	BY MR. ANDERSON:
10:57:47	25	Q This is you know, Cardinal, do you
		1

```
MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
10:55:27
           evidence and mischaracterizes his testimony.
                  MR. WOODS: It's -- it's a very difficult
           question because --
                  MR. ANDERSON: What's the objection?
10:55:34
                  MR. WOODS: The word "you" is confusing.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q You in your capacity as Archbishop, Cardinal.
           Do you understand that, Cardinal?
                  MR. WOODS: No. That's confusing. I mean --
10:55:43 10
                  MR. ANDERSON: It's not confusing to him. This
       11
           witness has given testimony before. He understands the
       12
           question. He understands the question as well as I
       13
           understand the protocol. He is the Ordinary. He is the
       14
           one responsible. If he doesn't understand it, he can
10:56:00 15
       16
           tell me. I don't need you to tell me that.
                  MR. WOODS: Well, it's also the jury that will
       17
           hear this testimony if taken out of context. The "you"
       18
       19 that you're proposing to him is a delegated
           responsibility that he, "you," accepts. He's accepted
10:56:20 20
       21
           that several times. He told you he accepts
       22
           responsibility. But he may not have even seen this
       23 letter, and I think he said that too.
                      So I mean I think you have to be clear in
       24
           your question to prevent -- prevent some kind of misuse
10:56:39 25 (
```

```
10:57:49
           understand the question?
                 A I think the best thing is to repeat what I
        2
           said a while ago. That is, I delegated Monsignor Curry,
           as vicar for the clergy, to handle all cases involving
           externs and their assignments.
10:58:02
       5
                      So I personally was not given this letter.
           Bishop Curry would not have said "We're going to assign
           him because we have this letter."
                  Q Okay. Would it be correct, then, to say it's
           the Archdiocese of L.A? Would that be a more correct
10:58:16 1.0
           way to ask the question? Is it correct to say, then,
       11
           that the Archdiocese of Los Angeles relied upon this
       12
           letter in determining whether or not Nicolas Aguilar
       13
       14
           Rivera was fit to be assigned here?
10:58:40 15
                  A Yes.
       16
                  Q Okay. I'll use the Archdiocese. That will
       17
            be easier. Because it could be you. It could be
            authority delegated to Monsignor Curry. Does that work?
       18
        13
                      Does that work with you, Counsel?
10:58:50
                  MR, WOODS: That would be much better.
       20
        21
                  MR. ANDERSON: That's much better. Okay.
        22
        23
                  Q Let me -- let me just rephrase those
        24
            questions, then. It's correct, then, to say that the
            Archdiocese of L.A. relied upon Norberto Rivera in his
```

63

10:59:07	1	representation that Nicolas Aguilar Rivera was safe to
	2	serve and work in the Archdiocese of L.A.?
	3	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
	4	evidence.
10:59:23	5	THE WITNESS: I'll respond as I did before, that
	6	he met the requirements of Canon 271.
	7	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	8	Q And that means fit for ministry?
	9	A Fit for ministry.
10:59:30	10	Q And fit for ministry means safe?
	11	A Well, it doesn't delineate a whole list of
	12	words. I just say "fit for ministry."
	13	Q Well, if you're a child molester, you're not
	14	fit for ministry, are you?
10:59:43	15	A No.
	16	Q And and so this represents to the
	17	Archdiocese of L.A., does it not, that Norberto Rivera
	18	is is making an affirmative representation that
	19	Nicolas Aguilar Rivera is not a child molester?
11:00:13	20	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
	21,	evidence.
	22	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	23	Q Correct?
	24	A The letter is stating that he's a priest in
11:00:22	25	good standing, being recommended for ministry here.

11:01:42	1	Q Let's let's go to the letter, then, and
	2	have you read the letter as you have translated or
	3	into the record as you read the letter. It's a brief
	4	letcer.
11:02:00	5	A Well, I find that a bit awkward. You're
	6	really asking me to take somebody else's translation and
	7	try to comport it to I prefer to stay with the
	в	Spanish,
	9	Q Okay, Well
11:02:17	10	MR. WOODS: I think he
	11	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	12	Q So then so then I need to know what it is
	13	that you think it says. If you take the the English
	14	translation, you would make the only corrections you
11:02:29	15	would make would be at the fourth line down,
	16	providing excuse me third line down, you would
	17	supplant or substitute "who" with the word instead of
	18	The word "which"; is that correct?
	19	A Yes.
11:02:47	20	MR. SELSBERG: I object to the witness's
	21	Competency to translate the letter.
	22	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	23	Q And then the next correction that you would
	24	be making would be at the fourth line, beginning with
11:02:58	25	with "If His Excellency"?

+ i)

11:00:26	,	Q And what does that mean to the Archdiocese in
	2	Canon Law and common parlance?
	3	A There are a whole list of qualities from the
	4	seminary, to be ordained, and they're all listed in the
11:00:41	5	Code of Canon Law. So it's just a global endorsement.
	€	Q And that means that he's fit to be trusted by
	7	the community of faith?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q It means he's fit to serve as a shepherd of
11:00:54	10	community of faith?
	11	A Yes,
	12	Q It means that he's fit to minister the
	13	sacraments?
	14	· A Yes.
11:00:59	15	Q It means that he's fit to care for the
	16	welfare and the safety of the children of the
	17	Archdiocese?
	38	A Yes.
	19	Q It means that he's fit to be a priest?
11:01:09	20	A Yes.
	21	Q To wear a collar?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q To hold himself out as being safe and
	24	celibate?
11:01:16	25	A Yes.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COȘTA MESA, CA 92626

```
11:03:00
                 MR. SELSBERG: Same objection.
                 THE WITNESS: Well --
                 Q Why don't you read that line for me as you
11:03:05
           read it from the Spanish version. ..
                 A I'm going to give you my translation from the
           Spanish. I'm not going to --
                 Q That's what I'm asking you to do.
                     I'm not going to rely on this.
11:03:16 10
                     Yes. Read the Spanish version.
       11
                 A "I do not have any reason or basis to not
       12
           grant permission if Your Excellency were to accept him
       13
           for work in your local church."
       14
                      Off the record, if we could.
11:03:53 15
                 MR. ANDERSON: Sure.
       16
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, the time is
       17
       18
                      (Discussion held off the record from
       19
           11:03 a.m. until 11:04 a.m.)
11:04:13 20
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, the time is
       21
           11:04.
       22
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       23
                  Q I was trying to write that down, Cardinal.
       24
           And the last part of it is "you are to accept him for
11:04:24 25 work in the church. " Is that the way --
```

```
11:04:28
                 A *If Your Excellency were to accept him
           for" -- "that he might work in your local church."
                 O Did this letter give you -- excuse me. Did
           this letter give the Archdiocese any warning that this
          ony shouldn't be put -- put in ministry?
11:04:46
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
           evidence and calls for speculation.
                  THE WITNESS: Well, the letter and the language
           that's before me is a letter of recommendation.
11:05:20 10
                  MR. WATERS: This is one that's been marked.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Cardinal, we're going to put
       12
           before you now the declaration that has been filed in
       13
           this case by now Cardinal Norberto Rivera. We will mark
           this for identification as Exhibit 100A.
       14
11:05:42 15
                  MR. WATERS: No. The Spanish translation is
          Exhibit 100, the English translation which immediately
       16
           follows it is 100A, and the certificate of translation
       17
           is attached to the English translation.
       18
       19
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
11:05:54 20
                  Q Now, this is a translation that was done, I
       21
           think, by you folks.
       22
                  MR. SELSBERG: We did do one. I don't know what
       23
           you're using, but --
       24
                  MR. ANDERSON: Okay, And we're using the one
11:06:01 25
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
11:07:14
                     And the declaration is -- is a statement made
       1
           by him under oath to be used by us here. Okay?
        3
                 A Yes.
                  MR. SELSBERG: To be used by us here? It's to be
11:07:25
           used in the case.
                  MR. ANDERSON: In the case. We're here on this
           case, Counsel.
                  MR. SELSBERG: Gotcha.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
11:07:36 1.0
                  Q You'll see at number 97
                  MR. WOODS: Paragraph 97
       11
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
       12
                  THE WITNESS: Excuse me. You're using numbers
       13
           along this side? Okay.
       14
11:07:49 15
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Yes.
       16
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       17
                  Q And that's page 2.
       18
                     Yes.
                  A
       19
                  Q It states, "In 1986, in my capacity as Bishop
11:07:56 20 of Diocese of Tehuacan, I learned that defendant, Father
       21 Ricolas Aguilar, then parish priest at the Parish of
       22
            San Sebastian, had been assaulted at his parish
       23
            residence on August 7th, 1986.
                      My question to you, Cardinal, is do you know
       24
 11:08:33 25
           anything about the circumstances of that assault?
```

```
that was supplied to us and submitted to the court.
11:06:03
                  MR. SELSBERG: Okay.
                  MR. ANDERSON: So there's no objection to this
           translation, I assume.
                  MR. SELSBERG: I'm sorry. I don't have the
11:06:09
           document. But if what you're saying is --
                  MR. ANDERSON: It's the one that's on file.
                  MR. SELSBERG: Okay.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
11:06:18 10
                  Q So, Cardinal, what we have here is a Spanish
           and an English version. I'm going to use the English
       12
       13
                      Have you seen this?
                     This document?
11:06:28 15
                     Okay. You'll see that this is at page 7 --
           excuse me -- at page 6 is signed by Cardinal Norberto
           Rivera Carrera. Do you see that?
11:07:00 20
                  Q And I'll represent to you this is a
           declaration that he has made in this case and that he,
       23
           through his lawyers, has been placed into the record on
       24
           this -- on this case. Okay?
11:07:12 25
                  A Yes.
```

```
11:08:39
                 A I do not.
                 O The next sentence states, "Because there were
           rumors that grown men stayed overnight at the church
           where Pather Equilar lived. I suspected that a
11:09:02 5
          homosexual incident had precipitated the assault on
          Father Aquilar.
                     My question to you first, Cardinal, is do you
          know anything about what -- about these rumors that he
          is referring to here?
11:09:21 10
                  A I do not.
       11
                  O Before you read this declaration, have you
       12
          read or heard anything about what he is referring to
       13
          here --
       14
                 MR. WOODS: Okay. I just want to object.
11:09:32 15 BY MR. ANDERSON:
       16
                  0 -- other than with counsel?
       17
                  MR. WOODS: I just want to object to the form of
       18
           the question in that the question assumes he's read the
       19
          declaration, and, in fact, he's testified that he's
11-09-42 20 never seen it before.
       21
                  MR. ANDERSON: You didn't listen to the question.
       22
           I said --
       23
                  MR. WOODS: Just take the preamble off, and he'll
       24
           answer the question.
11:09:50 25 BY MR. ANDERSON:
```

71

11:09:50 Q Okay. Do you know anything about this? A No. Q It states there were grown men stayed overnight and homosexual incident that precipitated the 11:10:16 5 assault on Father Aguilar. Would the Archdiocese of L.A. accepted this priest if it and you had known that in August of '86, grown men had stayed overnight and there had been a homosexual incident that precipitated the assault? 11:10:40 10 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in 12 MR. WOODS: Okay. Also, it read from the 13 document incorrectly as -- by way of a preamble. So could you just ask him the question? I object that it's 11:10:56 15 confusing. Instruct him not to answer. Just ask him if they would accept under these circumstances. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Did you hear the question, Cardinal? 19 A I'm confused now. Could you repeat the 11:11:11 20 question? 21 Q Okay. Would you have accepted or would the 22 Archdiocese have accepted this priest for work in the 23 L.A. Archdiocese if it and you had known that grown men had stayed overnight at the church where Father Aguilar 24 11:11:30 25 lived and that there had been a homosexual incident that

> HAFIN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

BY MR. ANDERSON 11:13:11 1 Q The next sentence states, "At the same time, Father Aguilar's performance at the parish had caused controversy and some resentment in some members of the 11:13:35 5 community because he had removed some persons that were selling goods in the church entrance and courtyard." Do you know anything about that? A I do not. Q It then states, "Thus, I was not sure if the 11:13:49 1.0 rumors were true or were instead motivated by resentment. 11 12 It then states. "There was no evidence to 13 suggest that the incident involved any minor children 14 nor was the involvement of minor children ever alleged." 11:14:11 15 Did I read that correctly? MR, SELSBERG: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the 16 question because of the noise with the tape. What was 17 the question? 18 19 BY MR. ANDERSON: 11:14:22 20 Q Did I read that correctly? 21 MR. SELSBERG: On. THE WITNESS: So stipulated. 22 23 BY MR. ANDERSON: O Cardinal, what does the Spanish term 24 "chamaco" mean to you? 11:14:35 25

11:11:38 1 precipitated an assault upon him? MR. SELSBERG: Objection. That assumes facts not in evidence, and it calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to answer it in -you've asked two pieces of it. The first part you said 11:11:57 5 rumors that grown men stayed overnight at the church. It could very well happen that a brother of his would come and stay there or some other person that he -- a family member staved at the rectory. That, in itself, I would not see as prohibitive. But certainly, 11:12:18 10 any suspicion of homosexual activity, absolutely. 11 BY MR. ANDERSON: 12 O If there had been -- so -- so that I 13 14 understand your answer, if there had been suspicion by 11:12:36 15 Cardinal, then Bishop, Rivera of homosexual activity, would you have accepted or permitted Nicolas Aquilar 16 Rivera to have worked in the Archdiocese of L.A.? 17 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation. 18 19 MR. WOODS: Okay. The -- the question is also compound in that it talks about if there were suspicions 11:12:59 20 21 or knowledge. 22 So I'll let you answer it. You can deal with 23 ìt THE WITNESS: If we had been given that factual 24 11:13:08 25 information, we would not have accepted him.

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

11:14:38 1 MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the question as beyond the scope of this deposition, which is limited 2 to jurisdictional issues, and instruct the witness not 3 11:14:48 5 MR. SELSBERG: I object to the competency of the witness to answer that question. BY MR. ANDERSON: O Cardinal, if you -- in Exhibit 23, the letter of fitness from Cardinal Rivera to you upon which you 11:15:09 10 relied, it said that Nicolas Aguilar was suspected of 11 homosexual incidents with chamacos, what would that have 12 meant to you? 13 MR. SELSBERG: Objection. That calls for speculation. 14 11:15:32 15 MR. WOODS: I'm going to object that it's not --16 MR. SELSBERG: And it assumes facts not in . 17 18 MR. WOODS: I'm going to object that it's 19 11:15:39 20 hypothetical, facts not in evidence. It's not the facts that were presented, and it's beyond the scope of this deposition. And I'll instruct the witness not to 23 BY MR. ANDERSON: 24 11:16:01 25 Q If Cardinal Rivera had represented to you

75

	- 1	
11:16:05	1	that youngsters or kids had been involved in the
	2	incidents referred to in this declaration as opposed to
	3	grown men, would you have accepted him for work in the
	4	Archdiocese?
11:16:20	5	MR. SELSBERG: Objection. That calls for
	6	speculation and assumes facts not in evidence.
	7	MR. WOODS: I'll let him answer it as further
	8	explanatory of an earlier answer.
	9	THE WITNESS: No. We would not have accepted
11:16:33	10	him.
	11	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	12	Q When Monsignor Curry brought the information
	13	regarding Rivera Nicolas Aguilar Rivera first to you,
	14	you knew that his he was suspected of sexual abuse of
11:16:46	15	youngsters or kids?
	16	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
	17	evidence.
	18	THE WITNESS: When you said first brought the
	19	information about Father Aguilar, you mean when he first
11:16:58	20	came?
	31	BY MR. ANDERSON
	22	Q Yes. When Monsignor Curry first came to you
	23	and said Nicolas Aguilar Rivera has been suspected of
	24	of misconduct.
11:17:09	25	A Oh, this is the following year.
		<b>\</b>

11:17:11	1	Q Yeah. The following year. You knew that
	2	involved kids or youngsters?
	3	MR. WOODS: I'm confused now. Could you give us
	4	a clean question?
11:17:19	5	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	б	Q When Monsignor Curry first brought the
	7	information to you about Nicolas Aguilar Rivera and
	8	involved in misconduct, it was sexual misconduct
	9	involving kids, was it not?
11:17:35	10	A That's right.
	11	Q Okay. In the exhibit, there's reference to
	12	family and health reasons.
	13	MR. SELSBERG: Are you talking about the
	14	declaration or the letter or
11:18:05	15	MR. ANDERSON: The letter.
	16	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	17	Q What does the letter say about the reasons
	18	Nicolas Aguilar was sent here?
	19	A The the letter says that because of family
11:18:17	20	reasons and reasons of health, he wishes to come to
	21	serve in the Archdiocese for one year.
	22	Q When the phrase *family and health reasons*
	23	was used in this letter and is used, is that code, or
	24	does that provide a warning to the Archdiocese that this
11:18:55	25	priest suffers from some sort of problem?

	- 1	-
11:20:34	ı	you say to that, Cardinal?
	2	A Well, I interpret this as a very clear letter
	3	of recommendation.
	4	Q And when he says it's an introduction and you
11:20:47	5	say it's a recommendation, in in in the parlance
	6	of the church, how can there be a difference between the
	7	two, you and he?
	8	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
	9	MR. WOODS: I agree it calls for speculation and
11:21:07	10	instruct him not to answer.
	11	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	12	Q Okay. Go to line 24 in paragraph 11. You'll
	13	see at line 24, it states. *nor did I recommend him for
	14	such duties." Do you see that?
11:21:31	15	λ Yes.
	16	Q Cardinal Rivera has asserted in this
	17	declaration and under oath at no time did he recommend
	18	Nicolas Aguilar Rivera to this Archdiocese for duties.
	19	what do you say to that?
11:21:54	20	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the form of
	21	the question. It's not a question. And I'll instruct
	22	thim not to answer. Are you asking him does he agree or
	23	disagree? Is that the question?
	24	BY MR. ANDERSON:
11:22:07	25	Q You can answer the question as asked,

```
11:19:00
                 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; compound.
                 THE WITNESS: Absolutely not.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q I'm going to refer you to the declaration of
11:19:10 5
           Cardinal Rivera at paragraph 11, numbered 11. You'll
           see it begins with "After I obtained a replacement on
           January 27th --
                 A Yes.
                 Q -- Father Aguilar tendered me his irrevocable
11-19-37 1.0
           resignation from San Sebastian Martir Parish." He's
       11
           corrected that, but that's not important now. And it
       12
           states, "and indicated his intention to move to
       12
           Los Angeles, California.
       14
                      "That same day, at Father Aguilar's request
11:20:01 15
           and as was customary in the church, I wrote a letter
           introducing him to Cardinal Roger Mahony, then
       16
       17
           Archbishop of Los Angeles.* This would refer to the
       18
           exhibit that we've already identified, correct?
       19
11:20:17 20
                  Q And that is correct to say that that was a
       21
           customary way to introduce him to you?
       22
                 A Yes.
       23
                  Q He says "introduction." And in his
           deposition, he claims that the letter that he sent was a
11:20:32 25
           simple introduction and not a recommendation. What do
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
MR. WOODS: Okay. I instruct him not to answer.
11:22:09
       1
           BY MR. ANDERSON-
                 Q Do you agree that -- with Cardinal Rivera in
       3
           his declaration under oath that he did not recommend
11:22:23 5
          Nicolas Aguilar for duty to you -- to the Archdiocese?
                 A I would just say I consider this a very
          positive letter of recommendation, and that is my
           interpretation of it.
                 Q Let's go to the phrase *family and health
11:22:52 10
          reasons. *
                     Before I do that, let's go to the next line.
       11
       12
          And let me read from this first, and then I'll ask you a
       13
          question.
      14
                     Excuse me. Which line?
11:23:13 15
                 Q I'm going -- I'm going to back it up a little
       16
          bit so that we can get some context here.
                 MR. WOODS: Okay. We're back in Exhibit 100.
       17
       18
       19
                 Q And we're at Exhibit 100, page 3, paragraph
11:23:29 20 11. And line 18, I will read -- excuse me -- line 17, I
       21 will read. "That same day, at Father Aguilar's request
       22
          and as was customary in the church, I wrote a letter
       23 introducing him to defendant Cardinal Roger Mahony, then
       24 Archbishop of Los Angeles Archdiocese. In that letter,
11:23:54 25 | I explained that Father Aguilar planned to travel to
```

11:24:00	1	Los Angeles."
	2	Does that letter explain that, that he was
	3	planning to travel to Los Angeles?
	4	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
11:24:15	5	THE WITNESS: Well, again, I like to go back to
	6	the Spanish, Cardinal Rivera's Spanish version. Because
	7	he says "I wrote a letter," "presentandolo." That
	8	doesn't mean introducing as if "Here's Mr. Smith. Meet
	9	Mr. Brown." "Presentandolo," in that sense, means
11:24:41	20	presenting, offering him in a more positive sense. So
	11	that's why I keep looking back and forth here. So
	1,2	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	13	Q No. That's fair, Cardinal. I think that's
	14	important. "Presentandolo" to you means
11:24:55	15	A More than introducing.
	16	Q more than a presentation. It's a
	17	recommendation.
	18	A Yeah.
	19	Q Is that right?
11:24:59	20	A For me, I interpreted more than the word
	21	*introduce.*
	22	Q Okay. And then let's go back, then, to what
	23	Cardinal Rivera says in his declaration about that.
	24	Line 19, "In that letter, I explained that Father
11:25:16	25	Aguilar planned to travel to Los Angeles because I did

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 669-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
11:27:06
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. I'm going to object. That's
          not even a question, really.
                  MR. HABEL: It's argumentative.
                  MR. WOODS: Instruct not to answer.
          Argumentative.
11:27:15
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  O Well, when you read what the Cardinal has
           written under oath about this letter and his
          representations to you and how he characterizes it, what
11:27:32 10
          is your reaction to that?
       11
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection. That
       12
          mischaracterizes -- I mean that mischaracterizes the
       13
           document that you're reading from.
       24
                  MR. WOODS: It's also compound because you
11:27:45 15
          read -- read fairly complex sentences, and it's
       16 confusing to a lay witness to just say "What do you" --
       17
          "What's your reaction to it?" I mean it's not a proper
       18
          question.
       19 MR. ANDERSON:
11:27:58 20
                  Q Okay. Well, when he says "I was uncertain as
       21 11 whether he was fit to serve as a priest and I did not
       22
           recommend him for duty as a priest to the Archdiocese,"
           what is your reaction to that?
       23
       24
                  A Again, my reading of the January 27th, 1987,
11:28:15 25 letter is the opposite.
```

```
11:25:22 1
          not know whether the rumors of Father Aguilar's
          homosexuality were true or instead motivated by
          resentment within the community, I was uncertain as to
        4 | whether Father Aguilar was fit to continue in service as
11:25:38 5 a priest.
                     At any time, did Cardinal Rivera, then Bishop
          Rivera, by that letter or otherwise signal to you that
          he was uncertain as to whether Aguilar was fit to
           continue in service as a priest?
                 A No.
11:26:03 1.0
                 Q And you wouldn't have ever put him in service
       12
          in the Archdiocese as a priest had he so signaled to
          you, correct?
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
11:26:15 15
                  THE WITNESS: That's correct. I would not have.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q He goes on to state under oath, "As such, I
           did not grant a license to Father Aguilar to take up
          priestly duties in Los Angeles, granting such licensure
           was beyond my authority as bishop of the diocese in any
       21
           event, nor did I recommend him for such duties."
                      Is this the first time you've read this?
                  Q Okay. He's saying that -- what is your
11:27:04 25
          response to your reading of that?
```

```
11:28:30 1
                  Q When did you first learn from any source that
           Cardinal, formerly Bishop, Norberto Rivera was asserting
           in this case or otherwise that he had not recommended
           this priest for fitness to serve in the Archdiocese?
                  MR. WOODS: I'm going to let you answer that, but
11:28:54
           please exclude any conversations of a privileged nature
           with your attorneys. So if you heard about it in the
           newspaper or any other place, you can answer it.
                  THE WITNESS: Now, would you repeat the question
11:29:09 1.0
           and make sure I got --
           BY MR. ANDERSON
       12
                  Q When did you first learn that Cardinal Rivera
       13
           was asserting that he had not recommended Aguilar Rivera
       14
           to the Archdiocese of L.A.?
11:29:19 15
                  A I don't recall the exact date, but it was
       16
            sometime after this matter became public when we learned
       17
           about it in the newspaper articles, sometime in that
       18
           area.
       19
                  Q Okay. What year would that have been,
11:29:34 20
           Cardinal?
       21
                  A That would have been 1988.
       22
                  Q Going back to the declaration at line 26, he
        23
            states, "However, because I suspected that Father
       24
           Aquilar might be homosexual, I cautioned that the
           motivation for Father Aguilar's trip to Los Angeles was,
 11:29:53 25
```

84

quote, family and health reasons, unquote. The phrase, 11:29:59 quote, family and health reasons, unquote, was used within the church to warn when the priest suffers from some sort of problem." My question to you is does the phrase "family 11:30:22 5 and health reasons" used in the context here signal a warning that a priest suffers from some sort of problem? A That's the first time I've ever heard that. Q He goes on to state, "I anticipated" -- at 11:30:57 10 page 4 -- I am now line 1. He states, "I anticipated that Cardinal Mahony would request a more detailed 11 account of Father Aguilar's history and problems if he 12 decided to consider Father Aguilar as an employee of the 13 Los Angeles Archdiocese." 14 My question to you is did he ever tell you 11:31:27 1.5 that he anticipated or expected you to get more 16 information before considering him for employment? 17 MR. SELSBERG: Objection: calls for speculation, 18 assumes facts not in evidence, and is vaque. 19 MR. WOODS: The "he" is then-Bishop Rivera? 11:31:46 20 21 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. MR. WOODS: Did he ever tell you? 22 THE WITNESS: No. 23 24 BY MR. ANDERSON: 11:31:56 25 O And so when is the first time that you

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

11:33:23	1	A Yes.
	2	Q He at all times while serving here remains a
	3	priest of the Diocese of Tehuacan?
	4	A Correct.
11:33:29	5	Q And under his promise of obedience to his
	6	superior, then Bishop Rivera, correct?
	7	A Correct.
	8	Q He has an obligation to you, however, because
	9	you've given him permission to serve here, to to make
11:33:43	20	sure that he conducts himself in accord with his
	11	obligations as a priest, correct?
	12	λ Yes.
	13	Q While he's serving in the Archdiocese of L.A.
	14	as a priest and you know he's served here for
11:33:58	15	worked here for nine months. Did you know that?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q While he worked here for nine months,
	78	cardinal Rivera remained his superior, did he not?
	19	A Correct.
11:34:10	20	Q And Cardinal Rivera remained his ultimate
	21	dupervisor, did he not?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q And he could not come to the U.S. and be
	24	and even work as a priest here without the express
11:34:22	25	permission and recommendation of Cardinal Rivera,

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626 11:32:01 learned from any source, apart from reading this today, that Cardinal Rivera is asserting or has asserted that he expected or anticipated that you would make further inquiry before employing or placing this priest? 11:32:23 5 A It would be in that same time zone back in 1988. I don't recall exactly when, but shortly after all of this blew up. Q Okay. It's correct that at all times, Nicolas Aguilar Rivera was a priest at the Diocese of Tehuacan? 11:32:38 10 12 A Yes. 12 O In order for him to serve in the Archdiocese 13 of L.A., Cardinal Rivera has to -- has to recommend him and assert about his fitness under Canon Law, correct? 11:32:51 15 A Yes, that's correct. 16 And it's also correct to say that when and if 17 he's -- he's allowed to serve in the Archdiocese of L.A. as he was, you, as the Ordinary, give him permission to serve and grant him faculty to serve in the Archdiocese? 11:33:11 20 A That's correct. 21 Q And the only thing you do is give him 22 permission to serve here, correct? 23 Q And to minister all of the faculties of a priest, the full faculties of a priest, correct? 11:33:20 25

> HABN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

	11:34:24	,[	correct?
_,)		2	A Yes.
		3	Q It was Cardinal Rivera did you in any way
		4	facilitate his transfer here through immigration or
. : : : : :	11:34:33	5	transportation or anything like that?
		6	A Of Father Aguilar Rivera?
		7	Q Yes.
		8	A Yes.
		9	Q How how how did that happen?
	11:34:41	10	A It's customary when a priest comes, who has
		11	the proper visa, to and we are going to receive him
		12	for a limited period of time, to get his status
		13	regularized while he's here.
		14	Q Did as far as you know, was Rivera
	11:34:59	15	Nicolas Aguilar Rivera in compliance with immigration
		16	when he when he came here?
		17	A As far as I know, yes.
		18	Q Did he have a visa or permission to work?
		19	A I don't know. Bishop Curry would have been
	11:35:14	20	the one to look into those matters with him.
		21	Q Okay. Have you ever discussed that with
		22	Curry or received any information from any source
		23	whether or not he was or was not in compliance with the
		24	immigration laws?
	11:35:27	25	A I don't recall ever discussing with him, no.
			i

11:35:43	1	Q Cardinal Rivera in this declaration reasserts
	2	that he never recommended him to you or the Archdiocese.
	3	At line 19, page 4 I'd like to direct your attention
	4	to that.
11:36:04	5	Line 19, he states, "Nonetheless, I never
	6	recommended Father Aguilar for pastoral work in the
	7	Los Angeles Archdiocese in that letter, as Father
	8	Aguilar had requested, as I was uncertain whether he was
	9	fit for such work.*
11:36:27	10	MR. SELSBERG: Is that a question?
	11	MR. ANDERSON: Whether he was
	12	MR. SELSBERG: It's a different it's a
	13	different letter, isn't it? I think it's referring to a
	14	different can you clarify? It's vague and ambiguous.
11:36:38	15	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. Yeah.
	16	MR. SELSBERG: If you can just clarify
	17	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. I think I will.
	18	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	19	Q Let me ask you this, Cardinal. Was it
11:3 <i>6</i> :50	20	customary for you to receive a priest from outside the
	21	diocese on a letter such as that sent by bishop then
	22	Bishop Rivera to you?
	23	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; ambiguous, vague.
	24	THE WITNESS: Yes. Particularly when it stated
11:37:15	25	this is for a one-year term.

```
11:48:41
       1
           declaration 100A and in the English version, which is
          100A, page 3, lins 27, Cardinal Rivera states, *I
           cautioned that the motivation for Father Aquilar's trip
           to Los Angeles was family and health reasons."
11:49:14
                      My question to you is was there anything in
           what Cardinal Rivera communicated to you or how he
           communicated it in custom or in practice within the
           church that would send you a caution or a warning or a
           clue that this priest was not fit for ministry?
11:40:47 10
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection: compound.
       11
                  THE WITNESS: No.
       12
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       13
                  Q Nothing at all?
       14
                  A Nothing.
11:49:52 1.5
                  Q This guy -- in other words, he gave this guy,
       16
           as far as -- as far as the Archdiocese was concerned, a
       17
           clean bill of health. "You can" -- "You can let him
       18
           work here, you can let him serve here, and you can put
       19 mim in charge of the community of faith here"?
11:50:06 20
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
       21 qvidence.
       22
                  THE WITNESS: Well, again, the language of the
       23
           letter to me is a very positive recommendation.
       24
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
11:50:14 25
                  Q Okay. And is there anything in that same
```

```
11:37:18
                  Q And then whose decision would it have been
           for him to continue here after the expiration of that
           year? It would have been up to his Ordinary, his
           superior, would it not?
11:37:29
                  A That's right.
                  Q And if he had been allowed to continue beyond
           that year, the Ordinary would have requested permission
           of you to see if he could continue, correct?
11:37:39 10
                  A Yes.
       11
                  ٥
                      That's the custom and practice?
       12
                      Yes.
                     Why don't we take a short break here, just a
       13
           few minutes, ten minutes or something.
       14
11:37:56 15
                  MR. WOODS: Okay.
                  THE WITNESS: Sure.
       16
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, the time is
       17
       18
           11:38.
       19
                      (Recess taken from 11:38 a.m. until
11:38:00 20
           11:48 a.m.)
       21
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, the time is
       22 11:48.
       23
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       24
                  O All right, Cardinal, let's go back to this
           phrase "family and health reasons." Referring to the
11:48:38 25
```

### HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
letter or from any other source coming from Norberto
11:50:19
       1
          Rivera, then Bishop, that would cause you to pause or
           require you to investigate further his fitness, that is,
          Aguilar -- Aguilar -- Nicolas Aguilar's fitness to
      5
11:50:40
           serve?
                  A No.
                  Q Let's go to -- to the declaration again. And
           in the declaration, 1000 at page 3, line 3, and you'll
           see in the English version, it says "Because there were
           rumors that grown men stayed overnight." That's what it
11:51:13 10
       11
           says.
       12
                      Yes.
                  Q Look at 100, the Spanish version, at line 5,
       13
           page 3. In the Spanish version in that sentence, the
       14
           term "hombres adults" is used, is it not?
11:51:42 15
       16
                  A Yes.
                  o If the term "chamacos" had been used there,
       17
            would that have a different meaning for you as a reader?
       18
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation,
        19
            and I object to the competency of this witness to do
 11:51:58 20
            translations.
        21
        22
            BY MR. ANDERSON:
        23
                  O You can answer.
                  MR. WOODS: I also object that it's a
        24
 11:52:08 25
            hypothetical, not conforming with the facts presented
```

92

90

11:52:14 here, and instruct the witness not to answer. 1 MR. ANDERSON: On the basis of? What's the legal objection --MR. WOODS: You're asking --MR. ANDERSON: -- for the instruction? 11:52:25 MR. WOODS: You're asking him for an expert in translation of the Spanish language. You're asking him as expert in church law. MR. ANDERSON: No, I'm not. Is it relevancy, or 11:52:37 10 11 MR. WOODS: All of those things I've mentioned. MR. ANDERSON: Just give me the legal objections 12 13 so we can deal with it in court. Relevancy or what? 14 MR. WOODS: Okay. It calls for an expert 11:52:46 15 opinion. He's not been designated or being asked to testify here as an expert. It calls for translation. 16 17 He's not here as an expert in translation. It assumes 18 facts not in evidence and is argumentative and is confusing, and it's not relevant to the jurisdictional 19 11:53:08 20 issue. MR. HABEL: Hypothetical. 21 MR. WOODS: It's a hypothetical. 22 BY MR. ANDERSON: 23 24 Q I'm going to --11:53:30 25 MR. WOODS: Let me just --

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
BY MR. ANDERSON:
11:54:31
      1
                 Q And I will represent to you that this has
           been produced in this -- in this litigation by Cardinal
           Rivera's lawyers and the Diocese of Tehuacan. It is a
11:54:51
          police report of the Puebla State Police in 1986. Okay.
           It pertains to Nicolas Aguilar Rivera and the incident
           in August that preceded his departure from Tehuacan.
           Okay.
                      And so that we can be somewhat brief here, 15
11:55:34 10 lines down in the English version, I'm going to read --
       11 because you speak Spanish, you might be able to help me.
           But in the English version at 15 lines down, it says "I
           work here at the service of the parish in the afternoon.
       14 A youngster wearing a green suit and tennis shoes" -- do
11:56:10 15 you see that line on the English version I just read?
       16
                  Q Now look at the Spanish version and track
          3
           Ehat line.
       19
                  A Boy, this is very difficult to read. This --
11:56:34 20
           Akay. "Yo trabajo aqui," "I work here at the service of
       21 the parish, all right, in the afternoon.
       22
                  Q Okay.
       23
                  A All right.
                  Q And -- yes. And then go ahead and read what
           it says.
```

```
17:53:31
                  MR. ANDERSON: Just a minute.
                  MR. WOODS: Okav.
                  MR. ANDERSON: We're going to go to court over
           this.
11:53:34
                  MR. WOODS: Fine.
                  MR. ANDERSON: If you want to let him answer now,
           that's fine. If you don't, we're fighting this thing.
                  MR. WOODS: Okav.
                  MR. ANDERSON: I just want you to know so, you
11:53:42 10
           know, if you want to reconsider, that's fine.
       11
                  MR. SELSBERG: I ask that you all do that today.
       12
                  MR. ANDERSON: Well, look, we've got questions to
      13
           ask. If we can do it, we will. But we've got other
       14
           questions to ask, and you've already made it difficult
11:53:57 15
           enough and used enough time making what I consider
       16
           frivolous objections that -- you know, we're going to
       17
           try to use the time to get to the substance of this.
       18
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       19
                  Q I'm going to show you now what we've marked
11:54:09 20
           Exhibit 19, Cardinal. The translation would be 19A and
       21
           then the certification of the translation.
       22
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit 19 was introduced and
       23
           marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
       24
           Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
11:54:24 25
                  MR. WOODS: I'm going to need a copy.
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
11:56:46
                  A I have to do it in Spanish, you mean?
                  MR. WOODS: Just read it to yourself, he means.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q No. As you read it, beginning with "I work
           here at the service of the parish in the afternoon.
11:56:52 . . 5
           Why don't you read what the Spanish version says to you
           as you read it.
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. I'm going to object to the
           question. It's calling for a translation, which has
11:57:04 10
           nothing to do with jurisdiction. This is a document
           that never was communicated from Mexico to United
       11
           States. It has nothing to do with any purposeful
       12
           activity by the Mexican defendants in doing business in
       13
           California. It never was presented.
       14
                      You're now going -- what you're trying to do
11:57:26 15
            is get a translation of a specific word or a sentence.
       16
            That's not his job to do translations. You can hire a
        17
            person to do a translation. He's not here to do
       18
        19
            translations.
                   MR. ANDERSON: Counsel, it -- it is central to
 11:57:42 20
        21
            the inquiry.
        22
                   MR. WOODS: It's central to your case --
        23
                   MR. ANDERSON: No.
        24
                   MR. WOODS: -- not central to jurisdiction.
                   MR. ANDERSON: This is -- this is what -- this is
 11:57:49 25
```