アノを見くの



INTERROGATORIES; CASE NO. BC358718

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Cardinal Rivera's responses to the Interrogatories are made solely for the purpose of this action. Each response is made subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety, admissibility, privilege, privacy, proprietary information, trade secrets and the like, and any and all other objections on grounds that would require the exclusion of any response herein if such were offered in Court, all of which objections and grounds are reserved and may be interposed at anytime, including at the time of trial.

No incidental or implied admissions are intended in these responses. Cardinal Rivera's response to any Interrogatory should not be taken as an admission that Cardinal Rivera accepts or admits the existence of any fact(s) or any document(s) assumed by that Interrogatory or that such response constitutes admissible evidence. Cardinal Rivera's response to any such Interrogatory is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, a waiver by Cardinal Rivera of any or all objection(s) to the Interrogatory.

Cardinal Rivera has not completed his (a) investigation of the facts relating to this case, (b) discovery in this action, or (c) preparation for trial. The following responses are based upon information known at this time and are given without prejudice to Cardinal Rivera's right to amend, supplement or revise these responses with any subsequently discovered information.

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

Cardinal Rivera makes and hereby incorporates by reference the following general objections, whether or not separately set forth, in response to each Interrogatory:

1. Cardinal Rivera objects to each Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, a confidentiality agreement, or information that is otherwise privileged, protected or confidential pursuant to any applicable doctrine, statute or rule. Such responses as may hereafter be given shall not include any information protected by such privileges, doctrines, statutes or rules, and any inadvertent disclosure of such information shall not be deemed a waiver of any such privilege, protection or confidentiality.

- 3. Notwithstanding the objection raised in Paragraph 2, Cardinal Rivera objects to each Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is neither relevant to the subject matter involved in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 4. Cardinal Rivera objects to each Interrogatory insofar as it assumes facts that are not in evidence. Cardinal Rivera does not admit or agree with any explicit or implicit assumption made by Plaintiff in these Interrogatories.
- 5. Cardinal Rivera objects to each Interrogatory insofar as it seeks to impose upon Cardinal Rivera obligations that exceed the requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Cardinal Rivera's responses will be in accordance with the Code's requirements. Specifically, but without limitation, Cardinal Rivera objects to the attempted imposition of a continuing duty on the part of Cardinal Rivera to amend or modify his responses to the Interrogatories. Such a continuing duty is contrary to Section § 2030.060(g) of the California Code of Civil Procedure.
- 6. Cardinal Rivera objects to the definition of "YOU" and "YOUR" included in the Interrogatories on the ground that it is overly broad, compound, unduly burdensome, oppressive, vague and ambiguous. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this definition to the extent it implies an agency or employment relationship where none exists in fact or in law. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this definition to the extent it improperly seeks information regarding third parties. Cardinal Rivera will respond on behalf of himself only, in his individual capacity and in his former capacity as Bishop of Defendant the Diocese of Tehuacan.

In addition to the above-stated objections to all of the Interrogatories in general, Cardinal Rivera also asserts objections to specific Interrogatories, as indicated and explained below.

#### RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

Identify all persons answering these interrogatories or who was consulted in order to

1	answer the question, the questions they answered or consulted on and their association and/or
2	position with Cardinal Rivera.
3	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:
4	Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General
5	Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it is
6	compound in contravention of Section 2030.060(f) of the California Code of Civil Procedure.
7	Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:
8	The following persons answered and/or consulted in answering the Interrogatories:
9	Cardinal Rivera; Counsel for Cardinal Rivera; and Padre Hugo Baldemar Romero Ascencion.
0	INTERROGATORY NO. 2:
1	Has Cardinal Rivera ever been personally present in California, United States of
2	America?
3	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:
4	Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General
5	Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the term "personally present" as
6	vague and misleading. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds
7	as follows:
8	Cardinal Rivera has been physically present in the State of California.
9	<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 3</u> :
20	If Cardinal Rivera has been personally present in California, United States of America,
21	please state the dates that Cardinal Rivera has been personally present in California, United
22	States of America.
23	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:
24	Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General
25	Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the term "personally present" as
26	vague, ambiguous and misleading. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal
27	Rivera responds as follows:
28	To the best of his recollection. Cardinal Rivera physically entered the State of California

2	visits. Cardinal Rivera's most recent trip to California occurred at least ten years ago. Cardinal
3	Rivera's first visit to California occurred at least twenty years ago. In between those two trips,
4	Cardinal Rivera physically entered California on one other occasion, the date(s) of which he does
5	not recall.
6	INTERROGATORY NO. 4:
7	If Cardinal Rivera has been personally present in California, United States of America
8	please state the location(s) where he was personally present.
9	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:
0	Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General
.1	Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the term "personally present" as
2	vague, ambiguous and misleading. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal
3	Rivera responds as follows:
4	On his first visit to California (at least twenty years ago), Cardinal Rivera visited Disney
5	Land in Anaheim, California. On his most recent visit to California (at least ten years ago),
6	Cardinal Rivera passed through Los Angeles, California on his way to Las Vegas, Nevada. In
7	the interim, Cardinal Rivera Cardinal Rivera visited Los Angeles, California.
8	INTERROGATORY NO. 5:
19	If Cardinal Rivera has been personally present in California, United States of America
20	please state the purpose which brought him to California, United States of America.
21	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:
22	Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General
23	Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the term "personally present" as
24	vague, ambiguous and misleading. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because
25	it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive, and it imposes an unreasonable burden
26	and expense upon Cardinal Rivera. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal
	Р.

on three occasions during his lifetime. Cardinal Rivera does not recall the precise dates of those

27

28

Rivera responds as follows:

For each of Cardinal Rivera's visits to California, the purposes for which he visited

l	Ì
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	,
18	;
19	)
20	)
21	•
22	!
23	}
24	ļ
29	5

California were to vacation and/or to visit friends and/or relatives. Cardinal Rivera has never
been to California for the purpose of conducting business on behalf of Defendant the Diocese of
Tehuacan or for the Roman Catholic Church. On his first visit to California (at least twenty
years ago), Cardinal Rivera visited Disney Land in Anaheim, California. At least ten years ago
Cardinal Rivera flew to Las Vegas, Nevada in order to attend a conference and/or convention;
Cardinal Rivera's flight laid over in Los Angeles, California. In the interim, Cardinal Rivera
visited a terminally ill cousin in Los Angeles, California.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

If Cardinal Rivera has been personally present in California, United States of America please state the individual(s) who accompanied him.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the term "personally present" as vague, ambiguous and misleading. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

On his first visit to California (at least twenty years ago), a brother or cousin accompanied Cardinal Rivera. Cardinal Rivera was unaccompanied on his other two visits to California.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

If Cardinal Rivera has been personally present in California, United States of America please describe every document which evidence his trip(s) to California, including but not limited to itinerary(ies), travel documents, visa applications etc.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the term "personally present" as vague, ambiguous and misleading. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

28

26

27

After a reasonably diligent search, Cardinal Rivera has not located any documents within his possession, custody or control that are responsive to this Interrogatory.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

If Cardinal Rivera has been personally present in California, United States of America please identify each and every instrumentality of the Catholic Church with whom he had personal contact while in California, United States of America.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the terms "personally present" and "instrumentality" as vague, ambiguous and misleading. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive, and it imposes an unreasonable burden and expense upon Cardinal Rivera. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the subject matter involved in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it is harassing, burdensome and duplicative of other Interrogatories (e.g., Interrogatory No. 9). Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

Cardinal Rivera has never been to California for the purpose of conducting business on behalf of Defendant the Diocese of Tehuacan or for the Roman Catholic Church. As such, Cardinal Rivera did not conduct any business with any employees of the Roman Catholic Church in California while visiting California. To his knowledge, Cardinal Rivera did not have any contact with any employees of the Roman Catholic Church in California while in the State of California.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

If Cardinal Rivera has been personally present in California, United States of America please identify each and every representatives from California, including priests, bishops, cardinals, brothers, or clerics with whom he had contact while in California, United States of America.

## 

**RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:** 

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the term "personally present" as vague, ambiguous and misleading. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive, and it imposes an unreasonable burden and expense upon Cardinal Rivera. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the subject matter involved in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it is harassing, burdensome and duplicative of other Interrogatories (e.g., Interrogatory No. 8). Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

Cardinal Rivera has never been to California for the purpose of conducting business on behalf of Defendant the Diocese of Tehuacan or for the Roman Catholic Church. As such, Cardinal Rivera did not conduct any business with any employees of the Roman Catholic Church in California while visiting California. To his knowledge, Cardinal Rivera did not have any contact with any employees of the Roman Catholic Church in California while in the State of California.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

If Cardinal Rivera has been personally present in California, United States of America, please describe each and every Activity associated with any instrumentality of the Catholic Church in which Cardinal Rivera participated while in California, United States of America.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the terms "personally present," "instrumentality," and "Activity" as vague, ambiguous and misleading. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive, and it imposes an unreasonable burden and expense upon Cardinal Rivera. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the subject

matter involved in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

Cardinal Rivera has never been to California for the purpose of conducting business on behalf of Defendant the Diocese of Tehuacan or for the Roman Catholic Church. During his visits to California, Cardinal Rivera did not participate in any activities or functions of the Roman Catholic Church, other than to attend Mass with the friends and/or relatives with whom Cardinal Rivera visited.

#### INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Is Cardinal Rivera aware that Nicholas Aguilar became associated with the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, A Corporation Sole?

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the phrase "became associated with" as vague, ambiguous and misleading. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

Cardinal Rivera has no actual knowledge of any association between Defendant Father Nicholas Aguilar ("Fr. Aguilar") and the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles. On information and belief, Fr. Aguilar interacted with the Archdiocese of Los Angeles during 1987 and 1988. On January 27, 1987, Fr. Aguilar tendered his irrevocable resignation from the Diocese of Tehuacan where Cardinal Rivera then presided as Bishop. That same day, Cardinal Rivera wrote a letter to Cardinal Roger Mahony indicating that, for reasons of family and health, Fr. Aguilar desired to work for one year in Los Angeles. On March 12, 1987, Fr. Aguilar wrote to Cardinal Rivera requesting, among other things, that Cardinal Rivera correspond confidentially with Cardinal Mahony and Vicar Thomas Curry regarding the reasons why Fr. Aguilar sought work in Los Angeles. On March 23, 1987, Cardinal Rivera wrote to Cardinal Mahony and Vicar Curry confidentially and explained that Fr. Aguilar's departure from the Diocese of Tehuacan stemmed from a physical assault on Fr. Aguilar and that there were unproven accusations of homosexuality against Fr. Aguilar. That same day, Cardinal Rivera

wrote to Fr. Aguilar to confirm that Cardinal Rivera had sent the confidential letter. On December 20, 1987, Fr. Aguilar wrote Cardinal Rivera to request permission to work in the Los Angeles Archdiocese permanently (Cardinal Rivera did not respond to Fr. Aguilar's request of December 20, 1987). On January 11, 1988, Vicar Curry wrote Cardinal Rivera to explain that Fr. Aguilar had been accused of acting inappropriately towards children and that, as a result, his permission to serve in the Los Angeles Archdiocese had been withdrawn. On February 23, 1988, Vicar Curry wrote to Cardinal Rivera enclosing a Los Angeles Times article pertaining to Fr. Aguilar and requesting that Cardinal Rivera urge Fr. Aguilar to return to California, if Cardinal Rivera knew of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts. On March 4, 1988, Cardinal Mahony wrote Cardinal Rivera requesting information as to Fr. Aguilar's relatives. On March 17, 1988 Cardinal Rivera wrote Cardinal Mahony confidentially, stating that he was unaware of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts and providing information as to Fr. Aguilar's relatives and employment history. Cardinal Rivera also referred Cardinal Mahony to the confidential letter of March 23, 1987. On March 30, 1988, Cardinal Mahony wrote Cardinal Rivera and stated that he had never received the confidential letter of March 23, 1987.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

If Cardinal Rivera is aware that Nicholas Aguilar became associated with the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, A Corporation Sole, please state when Cardinal Rivera first became aware of the association.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the phrase "became associated with" as vague, ambiguous and misleading. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

Cardinal Rivera has no actual knowledge of any association between Fr. Aguilar and the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles. On information and belief, Fr. Aguilar interacted with the Archdiocese of Los Angeles during 1987 and 1988. On January 27, 1987, Fr. Aguilar tendered his irrevocable resignation from the Diocese of Tehuacan where Cardinal Rivera then

presided as Bishop. That same day, Cardinal Rivera wrote a letter to Cardinal Roger Mahony
indicating that, for reasons of family and health, Fr. Aguilar desired to work for one year in Los
Angeles. On March 12, 1987, Fr. Aguilar wrote to Cardinal Rivera requesting, among other
things, that Cardinal Rivera correspond confidentially with Cardinal Mahony and Vicar Thomas
Curry regarding the reasons why Fr. Aguilar sought work in Los Angeles. On March 23, 1987,
Cardinal Rivera wrote to Cardinal Mahony and Vicar Curry confidentially and explained that Fr.
Aguilar's departure from the Diocese of Tehuacan stemmed from a physical assault on Fr.
Aguilar and that there were unproven accusations of homosexuality against Fr. Aguilar. That
same day, Cardinal Rivera wrote to Fr. Aguilar to confirm that Cardinal Rivera had sent the
confidential letter. On December 20, 1987, Fr. Aguilar wrote Cardinal Rivera to request
permission to work in the Los Angeles Archdiocese permanently (Cardinal Rivera did not
respond to Fr. Aguilar's request of December 20, 1987). On January 11, 1988, Vicar Curry
wrote Cardinal Rivera to explain that Fr. Aguilar had been accused of acting inappropriately
towards children and that, as a result, his permission to serve in the Los Angeles Archdiocese
had been withdrawn. On February 23, 1988, Vicar Curry wrote to Cardinal Rivera enclosing a
Los Angeles Times article pertaining to Fr. Aguilar and requesting that Cardinal Rivera urge Fr.
Aguilar to return to California, if Cardinal Rivera knew of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts. On March
4, 1988, Cardinal Mahony wrote Cardinal Rivera requesting information as to Fr. Aguilar's
relatives. On March 17, 1988 Cardinal Rivera wrote Cardinal Mahony confidentially, stating
that he was unaware of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts and providing information as to Fr. Aguilar's
relatives and employment history. Cardinal Rivera also referred Cardinal Mahony to the
confidential letter of March 23, 1987. On March 30, 1988, Cardinal Mahony wrote Cardinal
Rivera and stated that he had never received the confidential letter of March 23, 1987.

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 13:**

If Cardinal Rivera is aware that Nicholas Aguilar became associated with the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, A Corporation Sole, please state how Cardinal Rivera first became aware of the association.

8

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

2728

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the phrase "became associated with" as vague, ambiguous and misleading. Cardinal Rivera further objects to this Interrogatory because it is harassing, burdensome and duplicative of other Interrogatories (e.g., Interrogatory No. 12). Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

Cardinal Rivera has no actual knowledge of any association between Fr. Aguilar and the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles. On information and belief, Fr. Aguilar interacted with the Archdiocese of Los Angeles during 1987 and 1988. On January 27, 1987, Fr. Aguilar tendered his irrevocable resignation from the Diocese of Tehuacan where Cardinal Rivera then presided as Bishop. That same day, Cardinal Rivera wrote a letter to Cardinal Roger Mahony indicating that, for reasons of family and health, Fr. Aguilar desired to work for one year in Los Angeles. On March 12, 1987, Fr. Aguilar wrote to Cardinal Rivera requesting, among other things, that Cardinal Rivera correspond confidentially with Cardinal Mahony and Vicar Thomas Curry regarding the reasons why Fr. Aguilar sought work in Los Angeles. On March 23, 1987, Cardinal Rivera wrote to Cardinal Mahony and Vicar Curry confidentially and explained that Fr. Aguilar's departure from the Diocese of Tehuacan stemmed from a physical assault on Fr. Aguilar and that there were unproven accusations of homosexuality against Fr. Aguilar. That same day, Cardinal Rivera wrote to Fr. Aguilar to confirm that Cardinal Rivera had sent the confidential letter. On December 20, 1987, Fr. Aguilar wrote Cardinal Rivera to request permission to work in the Los Angeles Archdiocese permanently (Cardinal Rivera did not respond to Fr. Aguilar's request of December 20, 1987). On January 11, 1988, Vicar Curry wrote Cardinal Rivera to explain that Fr. Aguilar had been accused of acting inappropriately towards children and that, as a result, his permission to serve in the Los Angeles Archdiocese had been withdrawn. On February 23, 1988, Vicar Curry wrote to Cardinal Rivera enclosing a Los Angeles Times article pertaining to Fr. Aguilar and requesting that Cardinal Rivera urge Fr. Aguilar to return to California, if Cardinal Rivera knew of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts. On March 4, 1988, Cardinal Mahony wrote Cardinal Rivera requesting information as to Fr. Aguilar's

relatives. On March 17, 1988 Cardinal Rivera wrote Cardinal Mahony confidentially, stating that he was unaware of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts and providing information as to Fr. Aguilar's relatives and employment history. Cardinal Rivera also referred Cardinal Mahony to the confidential letter of March 23, 1987. On March 30, 1988, Cardinal Mahony wrote Cardinal Rivera and stated that he had never received the confidential letter of March 23, 1987.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 14:**

If Cardinal Rivera is aware that Nicholas Aguilar became associated with the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, A Corporation Sole, please identify the individual who first informed Cardinal Rivera of the association.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Cardinal Rivera further objects to the phrase "became associated with" as vague, ambiguous and misleading. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

Cardinal Rivera has no actual knowledge of any association between Fr. Aguilar and the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles. On information and belief, Fr. Aguilar interacted with the Archdiocese of Los Angeles during 1987 and 1988. On January 27, 1987, Fr. Aguilar tendered his irrevocable resignation from the Diocese of Tehuacan where Cardinal Rivera then presided as Bishop. That same day, Cardinal Rivera wrote a letter to Cardinal Roger Mahony indicating that, for reasons of family and health, Fr. Aguilar desired to work for one year in Los Angeles. On March 12, 1987, Fr. Aguilar wrote to Cardinal Rivera requesting, among other things, that Cardinal Rivera correspond confidentially with Cardinal Mahony and Vicar Thomas Curry regarding the reasons why Fr. Aguilar sought work in Los Angeles. On March 23, 1987, Cardinal Rivera wrote to Cardinal Mahony and Vicar Curry confidentially and explained that Fr. Aguilar's departure from the Diocese of Tehuacan stemmed from a physical assault on Fr. Aguilar and that there were unproven accusations of homosexuality against Fr. Aguilar. That same day, Cardinal Rivera wrote to Fr. Aguilar to confirm that Cardinal Rivera had sent the confidential letter. On December 20, 1987, Fr. Aguilar wrote Cardinal Rivera to request

26

27

28

permission to work in the Los Angeles Archdiocese permanently (Cardinal Rivera did not respond to Fr. Aguilar's request of December 20, 1987). On January 11, 1988, Vicar Curry wrote Cardinal Rivera to explain that Fr. Aguilar had been accused of acting inappropriately towards children and that, as a result, his permission to serve in the Los Angeles Archdiocese had been withdrawn. On February 23, 1988, Vicar Curry wrote to Cardinal Rivera enclosing a Los Angeles Times article pertaining to Fr. Aguilar and requesting that Cardinal Rivera urge Fr. Aguilar to return to California, if Cardinal Rivera knew of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts. On March 4, 1988, Cardinal Mahony wrote Cardinal Rivera requesting information as to Fr. Aguilar's relatives. On March 17, 1988 Cardinal Rivera wrote Cardinal Mahony confidentially, stating that he was unaware of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts and providing information as to Fr. Aguilar's relatives and employment history. Cardinal Rivera also referred Cardinal Mahony to the confidential letter of March 23, 1987. On March 30, 1988, Cardinal Mahony wrote Cardinal Rivera and stated that he had never received the confidential letter of March 23, 1987.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 15:**

Has Cardinal Rivera ever have a conversation(s) with an officer, director or managing agent of the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, A Corporation Sole, regarding Nicholas Aguilar?

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

Cardinal Rivera has had no oral communications with an officer, director or managing agent of the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles regarding Fr. Aguilar.

#### INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

If Cardinal Rivera did have a conversation(s) with an officer, director, or managing agent of the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, A Corporation Sole, regarding Nicholas Aguilar, please identify each officer, director, or managing agent with whom he had the conversation(s).

the written communication(s).

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:**

Cardinal Rivera incorporates by reference his Preliminary Statement and General Objections set forth above. Subject to and without waiving his objections, Cardinal Rivera responds as follows:

Cardinal Rivera has had the following written communications with the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles regarding Fr. Aguilar, on the following dates:

- (a) Letter of January 27, 1987 from Cardinal Rivera to Cardinal Roger Mahony indicating that, for reasons of family and health, Fr. Aguilar desired to work for one year in Los Angeles.
- (b) Confidential letter of March 23, 1987 from Cardinal Rivera to Cardinal Mahony and Vicar Curry explaining that Fr. Aguilar's departure from the Diocese of Tehuacan stemmed from a physical assault on Fr. Aguilar and that there were unproven accusations of homosexuality against Fr. Aguilar.
- (c) Letter of January 11, 1988 from Vicar Curry to Cardinal Rivera explaining that Fr. Aguilar had been accused of acting inappropriately towards children and that, as a result, his permission to serve in the Los Angeles Archdiocese had been withdrawn.
- (d) Letter of February 23, 1988 from Vicar Curry to Cardinal Rivera enclosing a Los Angeles Times article pertaining to Fr. Aguilar and requesting that, if Cardinal Rivera knew of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts, Cardinal Rivera urge Fr. Aguilar to return to California.
- (e) Letter of March 4, 1988 from Cardinal Mahony to Cardinal Rivera requesting information as to Fr. Aguilar's relatives.
- (f) Confidential letter of March 17, 1988 from Cardinal Rivera to Cardinal Mahony stating that Cardinal Rivera was unaware of Fr. Aguilar's whereabouts, providing information as to Fr. Aguilar's relatives and employment history, and referring Cardinal Mahony to the confidential letter of March 23, 1987.
- (g) Letter of March 30, 1988 from Cardinal Mahony to Cardinal Rivera and stating that